

CIMPA School of Merida,  
January 2006

## RANDOM MATRICES AND ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

Jacques Faraut

The central question of the theory of random matrices is to determine the asymptotic behavior of the eigenvalues of large random symmetric or Hermitian matrices. In the case of the unitary Gaussian ensemble, i.e. the space of Hermitian matrices equipped with a unitarily invariant Gaussian probability, Mehta's formulae express the eigenvalue density in terms of the Christoffel-Darboux kernel of the Hermite polynomials. In fact orthogonal polynomials are a powerful tool in this theory. We will present in this course methods in the theory of random matrices which are using orthogonal polynomials.

- I Introduction
- II Semi-circle law and Wigner theorem
- III The probabilities  $A_n(m, B)$
- V Asymptotics of the probabilities  $A_n(m, B)$
- VI Wishart unitary ensemble

### I. INTRODUCTION

For  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{H}$ , let  $H_n = Herm(n, \mathbb{F})$  be the space of  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrices with entries in  $\mathbb{F}$ . On  $H_n$  one considers the probability law defined by

$$\mathbb{P}_n(dx) = \frac{1}{C_n} \exp(-\gamma tr(x^2)) m_n(dx),$$

where  $\gamma$  is a positive parameter,  $m_n$  is the Euclidean measure associated with the inner product

$$(x|y) = tr(xy),$$

and

$$C_n = \int_{H_n} \exp(-\gamma tr(x^2)) m_n(dx) = \left( \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\gamma}} \right)^N,$$

where

$$N = \dim_{\mathbf{R}} H_n = n + \frac{\beta}{2} n(n-1), \quad \beta = \dim_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbb{F} = 1, 2, 4.$$

This probability is invariant under the group  $U_n = U(n; \mathbb{F})$  of  $n \times n$  unitary matrices with entries in  $\mathbb{F}$ , acting on  $H_n$  by the transformations

$$x \mapsto uxu^* \quad (u \in U_n).$$

For  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ , it is the orthogonal group  $O(n)$ , for  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$  it is the unitary group  $U(n)$ , and for  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$ , it is isomorphic to the symplectic group  $Sp(n)$ , maximal compact subgroup of the complex symplectic group  $Sp(n, \mathbb{C})$ .

The probability space  $(H_n, \mathbb{P}_n)$  is called *Gaussian orthogonal ensemble* for  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ , *Gaussian unitary ensemble* for  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ , and *Gaussian symplectic ensemble* for  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$ .

The general problem in the theory of random matrices is to study asymptotics of probabilities related to the eigenvalues of a random matrix for large  $n$ .

a) *Statistical distribution of the eigenvalues*

If  $B \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a Borel set, one denotes by  $\xi_{n,B}$  the random variable defined by

$$\xi_{n,B}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \#\{\text{eigenvalues of } x \text{ in } B\}.$$

Let  $\mu_n(B)$  be its expectation,

$$\mu_n(B) = \mathbb{E}_n(\xi_{n,B}).$$

Then  $\mu_n$  is a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ , it is the statistical distribution of the eigenvalues. If  $\chi_B$  is the characteristic function of the set  $B$ , then

$$\xi_{n,B}(x) = \frac{1}{n} (\chi_B(\lambda_1) + \cdots + \chi_B(\lambda_n)),$$

if  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are the eigenvalues of  $x$ . In the sense of symbolic calculus this can be written

$$\xi_{n,B}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr} \chi_B(x).$$

Therefore

$$\mu_n(B) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{H_n} \text{tr} \chi_B(x) \mathbb{P}_n(x).$$

More generally, if  $\varphi$  is a bounded measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) \mu_n(dt) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{H_n} \text{tr}(\varphi(x)) \mathbb{P}_n(dx).$$

Question : what can be said about the asymptotics of  $\mu_n$  as  $n$  goes to infinity ? The answer is given by the following theorem of Wigner.

The semi-circle law  $\sigma_a$  of radius  $a$  is the probability measure defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) \sigma_a(dt) = \frac{2}{\pi a^2} \int_{-a}^a \varphi(t) \sqrt{a^2 - t^2} dt.$$

The theorem of Wigner says that, after scaling, the measure  $\mu_n$  converges to the semi-circle law  $\sigma_a$  of radius

$$a = \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\gamma}}.$$

**THEOREM (WIGNER).** — *Let  $\varphi$  be a bounded continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \mu_n(dt) = \frac{2}{\pi a^2} \int_{-a}^a \varphi(u) \sqrt{a^2 - u^2} du.$$

This means that, for large  $n$ , the density of eigenvalues is approximately

$$\frac{2}{\pi a^2} \sqrt{na^2 - \lambda^2},$$

if  $|\lambda| \leq a\sqrt{n}$ , and 0 if  $|\lambda| \geq a\sqrt{n}$ .

In the original proof Wigner considers the moments of the measure  $\mu_n$ :

$$\mathfrak{M}_k(\mu_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^k \mu_n(dt) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{H_n} \text{tr}(x^k) \mathbb{P}_n(dx),$$

and by combinatorial computations determines the asymptotics of  $\mathfrak{M}_k(\mu_n)$  as  $n$  goes to infinity: for  $k$  fixed,

$$\mathfrak{M}_{2k}(\mu_n) \sim \left(\frac{\beta}{4\gamma}\right)^k \frac{(2k)!}{k!(k+1)!} n^k.$$

Note that the moments of odd order vanish. On the other hand it is easy to compute the moments of the semi-circle law:

$$\mathfrak{M}_{2k}(\sigma_a) = \left(\frac{a^2}{4}\right)^k \frac{(2k)!}{k!(k+1)!}.$$

In fact

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M}_{2k}(\sigma_a) &= \frac{2}{\pi a^2} \int_{-a}^a t^{2k} \sqrt{a^2 - t^2} dt = \frac{2a^{2k}}{\pi} \int_0^1 u^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{1-u} du \\ &= \frac{2a^{2k}}{\pi} B\left(k + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right) = \frac{2a^{2k}}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(k+2)} = \frac{a^{2k}}{2^{2k}} \frac{(2k)!}{k!(k+1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof by Pastur uses the Cauchy transform. Recall that the Cauchy transform of a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  is the function  $G_\mu$  defined on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  by

$$G_\mu(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{z-t} \mu(dt).$$

For  $\mu = \mu_n$ , writing  $G_{\mu_n} = G_n$ ,

$$G_n(z) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{H_n} \text{tr}((zI - x)^{-1}) \mathbb{P}_n(dx).$$

After scaling one has to look at the functions

$$\tilde{G}_n(z) = \sqrt{n} G_n(\sqrt{n}z).$$

The proof amounts to showing that the functions  $\tilde{G}_n$  converge,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{G}_n(z) = f(z),$$

and that the limit  $f$  is a holomorphic function satisfying

$$f(z)^2 - \frac{4}{a^2} z f(z) + \frac{4}{a^2} = 0.$$

Since  $\Im G_n(z) < 0$  and hence  $\Im f(z) < 0$  for  $\Im z > 0$ , necessarily

$$f(z) = \frac{2}{a^2} (z - \sqrt{z^2 - a^2}),$$

which is the Cauchy transform of the semi-circle law  $\sigma_a$ .

The proof we will present uses the Fourier transform,

$$\widehat{\mu}_n(\tau) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it\tau} \mu_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{H_n} \text{tr}(\exp(-i\tau x)) \mathbb{P}_n(dx).$$

We will see that it can be computed in terms of Laguerre polynomials. The convergence to the semi-circle law will follow by using the classical Lévy-Cramér theorem.

More general results are obtained by using logarithmic potential theory. One defines the energy of a probability measure  $\mu$  by

$$I(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log \frac{1}{|s-t|} \mu(ds) \mu(dt) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} V(t) \mu(dt).$$

For  $V(t) = \gamma t^2$ , the semi-circle law appears as equilibrium measure: measure which realizes the minimum of the energy.

b) *Local behaviour : the probabilities  $A_n(m, \theta)$*

For  $\theta > 0$ , and  $0 \leq m \leq n$ , one denotes by  $A_n(m, \theta)$  the probability that a matrix  $x \in H_n$  has  $m$  eigenvalues in the interval  $[-\theta, \theta]$ . By using orthogonal polynomials one can evaluate the probability  $A_n(m, \theta)$  in terms of Fredholm determinants, and its behaviour as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In particular we will see that, for  $m = 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n \left( 0, \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{2n}} \right) = \text{Det}_{[-\theta, \theta]}(I - \mathcal{K}),$$

where Det is the Fredholm determinant, and  $\mathcal{K}$  is the kernel

$$\mathcal{K}(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\sin(\xi - \eta)}{\xi - \eta},$$

restricted to the square  $[-\theta, \theta] \times [-\theta, \theta]$ .

c) In the last chapter we consider the *Wishart unitary ensemble*. In that case there is an analogue Wigner Theorem: It is Marchenko-Pastur Theorem which describes the asymptotic of the statistical distribution of the eigenvalues for a Wishart random matrix.

## II ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

**1. Heine's formulae.** — Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ . We assume that the support of  $\mu$  is infinite, and that, for all  $m \geq 0$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |t|^m \mu(dt) < \infty.$$

Hence, for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , the moment of order  $j$ ,

$$m_j = \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^j \mu(dt),$$

is defined. On the space  $\mathcal{P}$  of polynomials in one variable with real coefficients one considers the inner product

$$(p|q) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t)q(t)\mu(dt),$$

for which  $\mathcal{P}$  is a pre-Hilbert space. The monomials  $1, t, \dots, t^m, \dots$  are independent, and, by the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization, one gets a sequence  $\{p_m\}$  of orthogonal polynomials:  $p_m$  is of degree  $m$ , and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} p_m(t)p_n(t)\mu(dt) = 0 \text{ if } m \neq n.$$

If  $\{p_m\}$  is a sequence of orthogonal polynomials we will write

$$p_m(t) = a_m t^m + \dots,$$

$$d_m = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_m(t)^2 \mu(dt).$$

*Example: Hermite polynomials.* The measure  $\mu$  is Gaussian :

$$\mu(dt) = e^{-t^2} dt.$$

The Hermite polynomial  $H_m$  is defined by

$$H_m(t) = (-1)^m e^{t^2} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^m e^{-t^2}.$$

Notice that  $a_m = 2^m$ . By integrating by parts one shows that

$$d_m = 2^m m! \sqrt{\pi}.$$

In fact, for any polynomial  $p$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} H_m(t)p(t)e^{-t^2} dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p^{(m)}(t)e^{-t^2} dt,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-t^2} dt = \sqrt{\pi}.$$

Let us consider the matrix of the moments of the measure  $\mu$ :

$$M_{ij} = m_{i+j} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^{i+j} \mu(dt).$$

It is the matrix of the quadratic form  $p \mapsto \|p\|^2$  with respect to the basis  $\{1, t, \dots, t^m, \dots\}$ . One defines

$$D_n = \det\left((M_{ij})_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1}\right).$$

PROPOSITION II.1.1.

$$D_n = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i)^2 \mu(dx_1) \dots \mu(dx_n).$$

*Proof.* The determinant  $D_n$  can be written as an integral on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} D_n &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \begin{vmatrix} x_1^0 & x_2^1 & \dots & x_n^{n-1} \\ x_1^1 & x_2^2 & \dots & x_n^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_1^{n-1} & x_2^n & \dots & x_n^{2n-2} \end{vmatrix} \mu(x_1) \dots \mu(dx_n) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_1^{n-1} & x_2^{n-1} & \dots & x_n^{n-1} \end{vmatrix} x_1^0 \cdot x_2^1 \cdot x_3^2 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n^{n-1} \mu(dx_1) \dots \mu(dx_n). \end{aligned}$$

This integral does not change under a permutation  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} D_n &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \varepsilon(\sigma) \\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_1^{n-1} & x_2^{n-1} & \dots & x_n^{n-1} \end{vmatrix} x_{\sigma(1)}^0 x_{\sigma(2)}^1 \dots x_{\sigma(n)}^{n-1} \mu(dx_1) \dots \mu(dx_n). \end{aligned}$$

By the classical evaluation of the Vandermonde determinant

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(x) &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_1^{n-1} & x_2^{n-1} & \dots & x_n^{n-1} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \varepsilon(\sigma) x_{\sigma(1)}^0 x_{\sigma(2)}^1 \dots x_{\sigma(n)}^{n-1},\end{aligned}$$

the result is established.  $\square$

We assume that the orthogonal polynomials are normalized by the condition

$$p_m(t) = t^m + \dots,$$

i.e.  $a_m = 1$ .

PROPOSITION II.1.2.

$$D_n = d_0 d_1 \dots d_{n-1}.$$

*Proof.* Consider the polynomials in  $n$  variables  $p_{\mathbf{m}}$  defined, for  $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ ,  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ , by

$$p_{\mathbf{m}}(x) = p_{m_1}(x_1) p_{m_2}(x_2) \dots p_{m_n}(x_n).$$

They are orthogonal for the inner product

$$(p|q) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(x)q(x)\mu(dx_1) \dots \mu(dx_n),$$

and

$$\|p_{\mathbf{m}}\|^2 = d_{m_1} d_{m_2} \dots d_{m_n}.$$

Consider the expansion of the Vandermonde polynomial in this basis:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(x) &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_1^{n-1} & x_2^{n-1} & \dots & x_n^{n-1} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} p_0(x_1) & p_0(x_2) & \dots & p_0(x_n) \\ p_1(x_1) & p_1(x_2) & \dots & p_1(x_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ p_{n-1}(x_1) & p_{n-1}(x_2) & \dots & p_{n-1}(x_n) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \varepsilon(\sigma) p_0(x_{\sigma(1)}) \dots p_{n-1}(x_{\sigma(n)}).\end{aligned}$$

The second equality comes from the fact that the value of a determinant does not change if one adds to a row a linear combination of the other ones. Hence

$$\Delta(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \varepsilon(\sigma) p_{\sigma \cdot \delta}(x),$$

where

$$\sigma \cdot \mathbf{m} = (m_{\sigma(0)}, m_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, m_{\sigma(n)}),$$

and  $\delta = (0, 1, \dots, n-1)$ . From the orthogonality of the polynomials  $p_{\mathbf{m}}$  it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Delta(x)^2 \mu(dx_1) \dots \mu(dx_n) = n! d_0 \dots d_{n-1}. \quad \square$$

This gives a way to evaluate the constant  $Z_n$  which will appear in Section 2 of Chapter III:

$$Z_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-(\lambda_1^2 + \dots + \lambda_n^2)} \Delta(\lambda)^2 d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n.$$

COROLLARY II.1.3.

$$Z_n = \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} 2^{-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{j=2}^n j!.$$

*Proof.* Take

$$\mu(dt) = e^{-t^2} dt.$$

The polynomials are then proportional to the Hermite polynomials:

$$p_m(t) = 2^{-m} H_m(t),$$

and

$$d_m = \|p_m\|^2 = 2^{-m} m! \sqrt{\pi}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} c_n &= n! D_n = n! d_0 \dots d_{n-1} \\ &= n! \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} 2^{-j} j! = \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} 2^{-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{j=0}^n j!. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Let us consider the polynomials  $p_n$  defined by

$$p_n(t) = \begin{vmatrix} M_{00} & M_{01} & \dots & M_{0,n-1} & 1 \\ M_{1,0} & M_{1,1} & \dots & M_{1,n-1} & t \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ M_{n,0} & M_{n,1} & \dots & M_{n,n-1} & t^n \end{vmatrix}.$$

This is a sequence of orthogonal polynomials in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu)$  for which

$$a_n = D_n, \quad d_n = D_n D_{n+1}.$$

In fact one sees that the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} t^j p_n(t) \mu(dt)$$

is zero if  $j < n$ , and equals  $D_n$  if  $j = n$ . One shows also

$$p_n(t) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{i=1}^n (t - x_i) \Delta(x)^2 \mu(dx_0) \dots \mu(dx_{n-1}).$$

**2. Christoffel-Darboux kernel.** — Let  $S_n$  be the orthogonal projection of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu)$  onto the space of polynomials of degree  $\leq n - 1$ . If  $\{p_k\}$  is a sequence of orthogonal polynomials, this projection can be written, for  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu)$ ,

$$S_n f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{d_k} (f|p_k) p_k(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_n(x, y) f(y) \mu(dy),$$

where  $K_n$  is the following kernel, called the Christoffel-Darboux kernel,

$$K_n(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{d_k} p_k(x) p_k(y).$$

In order to get a simpler form for this kernel we will use a recurrence relation satisfied by the polynomials  $p_n$ . We will use the following notation

$$p_n(x) = a_n x^n + b_n x^{n-1} + \dots$$

$$d_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_n(x)^2 \mu(dx).$$

PROPOSITION II.2.1.

$$xp_n(x) = \alpha_n p_{n+1}(x) + \beta_n p_n(x) + \gamma_n p_{n-1}(x),$$

where

$$\alpha_n = \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}}, \quad \beta_n = \frac{b_n}{a_n} - \frac{b_{n+1}}{a_{n+1}}, \quad \gamma_n = \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n} \frac{d_n}{d_{n-1}}.$$

*Proof.* The polynomial  $xp_n(x)$  is a linear combination of the polynomials  $p_0, \dots, p_{n+1}$ :

$$xp_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} c_{nk} p_k(x),$$

where

$$c_{nk} = \frac{1}{d_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}} xp_n(x) p_k(x) \mu(dx).$$

Notice that  $c_{nk} = 0$  if  $k > n + 1$ . Furthermore  $d_k c_{nk} = d_n c_{kn}$ , hence  $c_{nk} = 0$  if  $k < n - 1$ . Therefore

$$xp_n(x) = \alpha_n p_{n+1}(x) + \beta_n p_n(x) + \gamma_n p_{n-1}(x),$$

with

$$\alpha_n = c_{n,n+1}, \quad \beta_n = c_{n,n}, \quad \gamma_n = c_{n,n-1}.$$

Identifying the coefficients of  $x_{n+1}$  and  $x^n$  we get

$$a_n = \alpha_n a_{n+1}, \quad b_n = \alpha_n b_{n+1} + \beta_n a_n.$$

From these relations, and taking into account that  $d_{n-1} \gamma_n = d_n \alpha_{n-1}$ , we get the stated formulas.  $\square$

*Example*

Recall that the Hermite polynomials are defined by

$$H_n(x) = (-1)^n e^{x^2} \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^n e^{-x^2}.$$

One gets from this the generating function

$$w(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} H_n(x) = e^{2xt - t^2}.$$

In fact the Taylor expansion of the function  $f(x) = e^{-x^2}$  can be written

$$f(x - t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(x)}{n!} (-t)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} H_n(x) e^{-x^2}.$$

The generating function  $w(x, t)$  satisfies

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} - (2x - 2t)w = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} H_n(x) - 2x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} H_n(x) + 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n+1}}{n!} H_n(x) = 0.$$

By looking at the coefficients of  $t^n$  one gets

$$xH_n(x) = \frac{1}{2} H_{n+1}(x) + nH_{n-1}(x).$$

From the recurrence relation one gets the following formulas for the Christoffel-Darboux kernel

PROPOSITION II.2.2.

$$K_n(x, y) = \frac{\alpha_{n-1} p_n(x)p_{n-1}(y) - p_{n-1}(x)p_n(y)}{d_{n-1} (x - y)},$$

and

$$K_n(x, x) = \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{d_{n-1}} (p'_n(x)p_{n-1}(x) - p_n(x)p'_{n-1}(x)).$$

*Proof.* From the recurrence relation one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{d_k} (x - y)p_k(x)p_k(y) \\ &= \frac{\alpha_k}{d_k} p_{k+1}(x)p_k(y) + \frac{\beta_k}{d_k} p_k(x)p_k(y) + \frac{\gamma_k}{d_k} p_{k-1}(x)p_k(y) \\ & - \frac{\alpha_k}{d_k} p_k(x)p_{k+1}(y) - \frac{\beta_k}{d_k} p_k(x)p_k(y) - \frac{\gamma_k}{d_k} p_k(x)p_{k-1}(y). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{\gamma_k}{d_k} = \frac{\alpha_{k-1}}{d_{k-1}},$$

this can be written

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{d_k} (x - y)p_k(x)p_k(y) &= \frac{\alpha_k}{d_k} (p_{k+1}(x)p_k(y) - p_k(x)p_{k+1}(y)) \\ & - \frac{\alpha_{k-1}}{d_{k-1}} (p_k(x)p_{k-1}(y) - p_{k-1}(x)p_k(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
(x-y)K_n(x,y) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{d_k} (x-y)p_k(x)p_k(y) \\
&= \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{d_{n-1}} (p_n(x)p_{n-1}(y) - p_{n-1}(x)p_n(y)) \\
&\quad - \frac{\alpha_0}{d_0} (p_1(x)p_0(y) - p_0(x)p_1(y)) + \frac{1}{d_0} (x-y)p_0(x)p_0(y).
\end{aligned}$$

The last line vanishes since

$$p_0(x) = a_0, \quad p_1(x) - p_1(y) = a_1(x-y), \quad \alpha_0 = \frac{a_0}{a_1}.$$

One obtains  $K_n(x, x)$  as a limit. In fact

$$K_n(x, y) = \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{d_{n-1}} \left( \frac{p_n(x) - p_n(y)}{x-y} p_{n-1}(y) - p_n(y) \frac{p_{n-1}(x) - p_{n-1}(y)}{x-y} \right),$$

and, as  $y \rightarrow x$ ,

$$K_n(x, x) = \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{d_{n-1}} (p'_n(x)p_{n-1}(x) - p_n(x)p'_{n-1}(x)). \quad \square$$

### III. SEMI-CIRCLE LAW AND WIGNER THEOREM

**1. Weyl integration formula.** — We recall the notation:  $H_n = \text{Herm}(n, \mathbb{F})$ ,  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ , or  $\mathbb{H}$ ,  $U_n = U(n, \mathbb{F})$ . By the spectral theorem every matrix  $x \in H_n$  can be diagonalized in an orthogonal basis. The eigenvalues are real. This can be said as follows: The map

$$U_n \times D_n \rightarrow H_n, \quad (u, a) \mapsto uau^*,$$

is surjective, where  $D_n$  denote the space of real diagonal matrices.

**THEOREM III.1.1 (WEYL INTEGRATION FORMULA).** — *If  $f$  is an integrable function on  $H_n$ , then*

$$\int_{H_n} f(x) m_n(dx) = c_n \int_{D_n} \int_{U_n} f(uau^*) \alpha_n(du) |\Delta(a)|^\beta da_1 \dots da_n,$$

where  $a = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,

$$\Delta(a) = \prod_{j < k} (a_k - a_j)$$

is the Vandermonde determinant,  $\alpha_n$  is the normalized Haar measure of the compact group  $U_n$ ,  $c_n$  is a positive constant, and  $\beta = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{F} = 1, 2$ , or  $4$ .

If the function  $f$  is  $U_n$ -invariant,

$$f(uxu^*) = f(x) \quad (u \in U_n),$$

then  $f$  only depends on the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  of  $x$ ,

$$f(x) = F(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n),$$

where the function  $F$  is defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and is symmetric,

$$F(\lambda_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \lambda_{\sigma(n)}) = F(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n),$$

for  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ , the symmetric group. In that case the Weyl integration formula simplifies:

$$\int_{H_n} f(x) m_n(dx) = c_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) |\Delta(\lambda)|^\beta d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n.$$

## 2. The density of the statistical distribution of the eigenvalues.

Let  $V$  be a continuous real function on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that, for all  $m \geq 0$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |t|^m e^{-V(t)} dt < \infty.$$

The main example will be  $V(t) = \gamma t^2$  ( $\gamma > 0$ ). One considers on the space  $H_n$  the probability measure

$$\mathbb{P}_n(dx) = \frac{1}{C_n} e^{-\text{tr}(V(x))} m_n(dx),$$

with

$$C_n = \int_{H_n} e^{-\text{tr}(V(x))} m_n(dx).$$

If the function  $f$  is  $U_n$ -invariant,

$$f(uxu^*) = f(x) \quad (u \in U_n),$$

it only depends on the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  of  $x$ ,

$$f(x) = F(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n),$$

where  $F$  is a symmetric function. From the Weyl integration formula it follows that

$$\int_{H_n} f(x) \mathbb{P}_n(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(\lambda) q_n(\lambda) d\lambda_1, \dots, d\lambda_n,$$

with

$$q_n(\lambda) = \frac{1}{Z_n} e^{-(V(\lambda_1) + \dots + V(\lambda_n))} |\Delta(\lambda)|^\beta,$$

and

$$Z_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-(V(\lambda_1) + \dots + V(\lambda_n))} |\Delta(\lambda)|^\beta d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n.$$

In particular, if

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(\varphi(x)),$$

where  $\varphi$  is a bounded measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}$ , then

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{n} (\varphi(\lambda_1) + \dots + \varphi(\lambda_n)),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{H_n} f(x) \mathbb{P}_n(dx) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(\lambda_i) q_n(\lambda) d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(\lambda_1) q_n(\lambda) d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(t) w_n(t) dt,\end{aligned}$$

with

$$w_n(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} q_n(t, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) d\lambda_2 \dots d\lambda_n.$$

In particular, if  $\varphi = \chi_B$ , the characteristic function of the Borel set  $B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}f(x) &= \frac{1}{n} (\chi_B(\lambda_1) + \dots + \chi_B(\lambda_n)) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \#\{\text{eigenvalues of } x \in B\} = \xi_{n,B}(x),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mu_n(B) = \mathbb{E}_n(\xi_{n,B}) = \int_B w_n(t) dt.$$

This means that the measure  $\mu_n$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure, with density  $w_n$ .

**3. Mehta's formulae.** — From now on we assume that  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ , hence  $H_n = \text{Herm}(n, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $\beta = 2$ . Let us consider the orthogonal polynomials  $p_m$  with respect to the weight  $e^{-V(t)} dt$ :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} p_k(t) p_m(t) e^{-V(t)} dt = 0 \text{ if } k \neq m,$$

normalized by the condition

$$p_m(t) = t^m + \dots$$

Let  $d_m$  denote the square of the norm of  $p_m$ ,

$$d_m = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |p_m(t)|^2 e^{-V(t)} dt.$$

Recall that  $\Delta$  denotes the Vandermonde polynomial. Since the value of a determinant does not change if one adds to a row a linear combination of

the other ones,

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \dots & \lambda_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \lambda_1^{n-1} & \lambda_2^{n-1} & \dots & \lambda_n^{n-1} \end{vmatrix} \\ = \begin{vmatrix} p_0(\lambda_1) & p_0(\lambda_2) & \dots & p_0(\lambda_n) \\ p_1(\lambda_1) & p_1(\lambda_2) & \dots & p_1(\lambda_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ p_{n-1}(\lambda_1) & p_{n-1}(\lambda_2) & \dots & p_{n-1}(\lambda_n) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Therefore

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \text{sign}(\sigma) p_0(\lambda_{\sigma(1)}) p_1(\lambda_{\sigma(2)}) \dots p_{n-1}(\lambda_{\sigma(n)}).$$

The terms of this sum are orthogonal in the space

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \otimes_{i=0}^n e^{-V(\lambda_i)} d\lambda_i),$$

hence

$$Z_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-(V(\lambda_1) + \dots + V(\lambda_n))} \Delta(\lambda)^2 d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n = n! d_0 d_1 \dots d_{n-1}.$$

Define

$$\varphi_m(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_m}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}V(t)} p_m(t).$$

The functions  $\varphi_m$  are orthonormal in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Define also

$$K_n(s, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi_k(s) \varphi_k(t).$$

Up to the exponential factor  $e^{-\frac{1}{2}(V(s)+V(t))}$  it is the Christoffel-Darboux kernel for the orthogonal polynomials  $p_m$ . It is also the kernel of the orthogonal projection of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  onto the subspace generated by  $\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}$ . We will use the following notation introduced by Fredholm: for a kernel  $K(s, t)$ ,

$$K \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & s_2 & \dots & s_m \\ t_1 & t_2 & \dots & t_m \end{pmatrix} = \det(K(s_i, t_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}.$$

PROPOSITION III.3.1 (MEHTA'S FORMULA 1).

$$q_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \frac{1}{n!} K_n \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \dots & \lambda_n \\ \lambda_1 & \dots & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} q_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) &= \frac{1}{Z_n} e^{-(V(\lambda_1) + \dots + V(\lambda_n))} \Delta(\lambda)^2, \\ Z_n &= n! d_0 \dots d_{n-1}, \\ \Delta(\lambda) &= \det(p_i(\lambda_j))_{0 \leq i \leq n-1, 1 \leq j \leq n}, \\ \varphi_m(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_m}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}V(t)} p_m(t). \end{aligned}$$

Putting everything together one obtains

$$q_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \det(\varphi_i(\lambda_j))^2.$$

Consider the matrix  $A = (\varphi_i(\lambda_j))$ . The entries  $b_{ij}$  of the matrix  $B = A^T A$  are given by

$$b_{ij} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi_k(\lambda_i) \varphi_k(\lambda_j) = K_n(\lambda_i, \lambda_j),$$

hence

$$\det B = K_n \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \dots & \lambda_n \\ \lambda_1 & \dots & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}. \quad \square$$

PROPOSITION III.3.2 (MEHTA'S FORMULA 2). — *The density  $w_n$  of the measure  $\mu_n$ , the statistical distribution of the eigenvalues, is given by*

$$w_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} K_n(t, t).$$

*Proof.* The correlation function  $R_m$  ( $0 \leq m \leq n$ ) is the function in  $m$  variables defined by

$$\begin{aligned} R_m(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) &= \frac{n!}{(n-m)!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-m}} q_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m, \lambda_{m+1}, \dots, \lambda_n) d\lambda_{m+1} \dots d\lambda_n. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for  $m = n$ ,

$$R_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = n! q_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n),$$

and, for  $m = 1$ ,

$$R_1(\lambda_1) = n \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} q_n(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) d\lambda_2 \dots d\lambda_n = n w_n(\lambda_1).$$

By a backwards recursion on  $m$  we will prove that

$$R_m(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) = K_n \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \dots & \lambda_m \\ \lambda_1 & \dots & \lambda_m \end{pmatrix}.$$

For  $m = n$  it is Formula 1, and, for  $m = 1$ , it is Formula 2. It will follow from the next lemma.

LEMMA III.3.3. — *Let  $K$  be the kernel of the orthogonal projection  $P$  of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  onto a subspace of dimension  $n$ . Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} K \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & \dots & t_m \\ t_1 & \dots & t_m \end{pmatrix} dt_m = (n - m + 1) K \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & \dots & t_{m-1} \\ t_1 & \dots & t_{m-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* The kernel  $K$  satisfies

- $K(t, s) = \overline{K(s, t)}$  since  $P^* = P$ ,
- $\int_{\mathbb{R}} K(s, u) K(u, t) du = K(s, t)$ , since  $P \circ P = P$ ,
- $\int_{\mathbb{R}} K(t, t) dt = n$ , since  $\text{tr } P = n$ .

Let  $A_m$  be the  $m \times m$  Hermitian matrix with entries

$$a_{ij} = K(t_i, t_j) \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq m).$$

We write it as

$$A_m = \begin{pmatrix} A_{m-1} & \alpha \\ \alpha^* & \gamma \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$\alpha = (K(t_i, t_m)) \quad (1 \leq i \leq m-1), \quad \gamma = K(t_m, t_m).$$

The determinant of  $A_m$  can be evaluated as follows

$$\det A_m = \det A_{m-1} \cdot \gamma - \alpha^* \tilde{A}_{m-1} \alpha,$$

where  $\tilde{A}_{m-1}$  is the matrix of the cofactors  $\tilde{a}_{ij}$  of  $A_{m-1}$ . By integrating with respect to  $t_m$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & \dots & t_m \\ t_1 & \dots & t_m \end{pmatrix} dt_m &= K \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & \dots & t_{m-1} \\ t_1 & \dots & t_{m-1} \end{pmatrix} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(t_m, t_m) dt_m \\ &\quad - \sum_{i,j=1}^{m-1} \tilde{a}_{i,j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(t_j, t_m) K(t_m, t_i) dt_m \\ &= n K \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & \dots & t_{m-1} \\ t_1 & \dots & t_{m-1} \end{pmatrix} - \sum_{i,j=1}^{m-1} \tilde{a}_{ij} K(t_j, t_i). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \tilde{a}_{ij} a_{ji} = \det A_{m-1},$$

we obtain finally

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} K \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & \cdots & t_m \\ t_1 & \cdots & t_m \end{pmatrix} dt_m = (n - m + 1) K \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & \cdots & t_{m-1} \\ t_1 & \cdots & t_{m-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad \square$$

**4. Fourier transform of the statistical distribution of the eigenvalues.** — We assume now that  $V(t) = \gamma t^2$  ( $\gamma > 0$ ). In that case

$$p_m(t) = 2^{-m} \gamma^{-\frac{m}{2}} H_m(\sqrt{\gamma}t),$$

where  $H_m$  is the Hermite polynomial of degree  $m$ :

$$H_m(x) = (-1)^m e^{x^2} \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^m (e^{-x^2}),$$

and

$$d_m = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |p_m(t)|^2 e^{-\gamma t^2} dt = 2^{-m} \gamma^{-m-\frac{1}{2}} m! \sqrt{\pi}.$$

The Hermite functions

$$\varphi_m(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_m}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma t^2} p_m(t)$$

constitute a Hilbert basis of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

Recall that the density  $w_n$  of the measure  $\mu_n$ , the statistical distribution of the eigenvalues of a random matrix, is given by

$$w_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} K_n(t, t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi_k(t)^2.$$

We will compute its Fourier transform. In fact we will determine first the Fourier transform of

$$W_r(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k \varphi_k(t)^2 \quad (|r| < 1).$$

For that we will use the following classical formula of Mehler:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k k!} H_k(x) H_k(y) r^k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r^2}} e^{\frac{2xyr - (x^2+y^2)r^2}{1-r^2}},$$

(see for instance [Lebedev,1972] p.65-66) which gives, for  $y = x$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k k!} H_k(x)^2 r^k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r^2}} e^{2x^2 \frac{r}{1+r}}.$$

From this formula we get

$$W_r(t) = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r^2}} e^{-\gamma \frac{1-r}{1+r} t^2}.$$

This is a Gauss function. Recall that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it\tau} e^{-\alpha t^2} dt = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\alpha}} e^{-\frac{\tau^2}{4\alpha}} \quad (\alpha > 0).$$

Here  $\alpha = \gamma \frac{1-r}{1+r}$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{W}_r(\tau) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it\tau} W_r(t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{1-r} e^{-\frac{1+r}{1-r} \frac{\tau^2}{4\gamma}} = e^{-\frac{\tau^2}{4\gamma}} \frac{1}{1-r} e^{-\frac{r}{1-r} \frac{\tau^2}{2\gamma}}. \end{aligned}$$

If one is familiar with classical orthogonal polynomials, one recognizes the generating function for the Laguerre polynomials

$$L_m^\alpha(x) = e^x \frac{x^{-\alpha}}{n!} \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^m (e^{-x} x^{m+\alpha}).$$

In fact

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} L_k^\alpha(x) r^k = \frac{1}{(1-r)^{\alpha+1}} e^{-\frac{r}{1-r} x} \quad (|r| < 1)$$

(see for instance [Lebedev, 1972], p.77), and we obtain

$$\widehat{W}_r(\tau) = e^{-\frac{\tau^2}{4\gamma}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k L_k^0\left(\frac{\tau^2}{2\gamma}\right).$$

Since  $W_r$  has been defined as

$$W_r(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k \varphi_k(t)^2,$$

it follows that:

PROPOSITION III.4.1.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it\tau} \varphi_k(t)^2 dt = e^{-\frac{\tau^2}{4\gamma}} L_k^0\left(\frac{\tau^2}{2\gamma}\right).$$

But we want to compute the Fourier transform of

$$K_n(t, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi_k(t)^2.$$

Let us consider the product of the two Taylor series

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_k(t)^2 r^k \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^n \varphi_k(t)^2 \right) r^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} K_{n+1}(t, t) r^n, \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\frac{1}{1-r} W_r(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} K_{n+1}(t, t) r^n.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1-r} \widehat{W}_r(\tau) &= e^{-\frac{\tau^2}{4\gamma}} \frac{1}{(1-r)^2} e^{-\frac{r}{1-r} \frac{\tau^2}{2\gamma}} \\ &= e^{-\frac{\tau^2}{4\gamma}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n L_n^1\left(\frac{\tau^2}{2\gamma}\right). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM III.4.2. — *The Fourier transform of the measure  $\mu_n$ , the statistical distribution of the eigenvalues, is given by*

$$\widehat{\mu}_n(\tau) = \widehat{w}_n(\tau) = \frac{1}{n} e^{-\frac{\tau^2}{4\gamma}} L_{n-1}^1\left(\frac{\tau^2}{2\gamma}\right).$$

**5. Tight topology and Lévy-Cramér Theorem.** — On the set  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{R})$  of bounded positive measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  we will consider the tight topology. It corresponds to the pointwise convergence on the space  $\mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R})$  of bounded continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ . For that topology a sequence  $\mu_n$  of measures converges to the measure  $\mu$  if, for every  $f \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \mu_n(dt) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \mu(dt).$$

The following sets form a basis for the neighborhoods of the measure  $\mu_0$ :  
for  $f_1, \dots, f_N \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{V}(f_1, \dots, f_N; \varepsilon) \\ &= \left\{ \mu \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{R}) \mid \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_k(t) \mu(dt) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_k(t) \mu_0(dt) \right| < \varepsilon \ (k = 1, \dots, N) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

One can show that this topology is metrizable.

A sequence  $\mu_n$  converges to  $\mu$  if and only if

- for every  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R})$ , the space of continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  with compact support,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \mu_n(dt) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \mu(dt),$$

-  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(\mathbb{R}) = \mu(\mathbb{R})$ .

The Fourier transform of a measure  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{R})$  is defined by

$$\hat{\mu}(\tau) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it\tau} \mu(dt).$$

The function  $\hat{\mu}$  is bounded,

$$|\hat{\mu}(\tau)| \leq \hat{\mu}(0) = \mu(\mathbb{R}),$$

and uniformly continuous. If the sequence  $\mu_n$  converges to  $\mu$ , then, for every  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}_n(\tau) = \hat{\mu}(\tau),$$

and the convergence is uniform on compact sets.

**THEOREM III.5.1 (LÉVY-CRAMÉR).** — *Let  $\mu_n$  be a sequence in  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{R})$  such that, for every  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ ,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}_n(\tau) = \varphi(\tau),$$

*the function  $\varphi$  being continuous at 0. Then the sequence  $\mu_n$  converges to a measure  $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{R})$  whose Fourier transform is equal to  $\varphi$ .*

*Proof.* Put

$$C = \sup_n \mu_n(\mathbb{R}) = \sup_n \hat{\mu}_n(0),$$

then

$$|\hat{\mu}_n(\tau)| \leq \hat{\mu}_n(0) \leq C, \quad |\varphi(\tau)| \leq \varphi(0) \leq C.$$

Consider the linear forms  $T_n$  defined on the space  $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R})$  of continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  vanishing at infinity by

$$T_n(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)\mu_n(dt).$$

Then

$$|T_n(f)| \leq C\|f\|_{\infty}.$$

The Fourier transform of a function  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R})$  (it is the Riemann-Lebesgue property), and

$$T_n(\hat{g}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{g}(t)\mu_n(dt) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(\tau)\hat{\mu}_n(\tau)d\tau.$$

By the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(\hat{g}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(\tau)\varphi(\tau)d\tau.$$

Since the space  $\mathfrak{F}(L^1(\mathbb{R}))$  is dense in  $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R})$ , it follows that  $T_n(f)$  converges for all  $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R})$ . The limit  $T(f)$  is a positive linear form on  $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R})$ . By the Riesz theorem there exists a positive measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that, for all  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$T(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)\mu(dt).$$

The functions in  $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R})$  are integrable with respect to  $\mu$ , and for  $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$T(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)\mu(dt).$$

Let us consider the Poisson approximation of unity:

$$p_k(\tau) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{1 + k^2\tau^2}, \quad \hat{p}_k(t) = e^{-\frac{|t|}{k}}.$$

We get

$$T(\hat{p}_k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{p}_k(t)\mu(dt) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_k(\tau)\varphi(\tau)d\tau.$$

By the Lebesgue monotone convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{p}_k(t)\mu(dt) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu(dt) (\leq \infty).$$

On the other hand, since  $\varphi$  is continuous at 0,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_k(\tau) \varphi(\tau) d\tau = \varphi(0).$$

Therefore the measure  $\mu$  is bounded and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu(dt) = \varphi(0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}_n(0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu_n(dt).$$

Finally  $\mu_n$  converges to  $\mu$ , and  $\varphi$  is the Fourier transform of  $\mu$ .  $\square$

**6. Convergence to the semi-circle law.** — Let us introduce the function

$$F_\nu(\tau) = \frac{\Gamma(\nu + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\nu + \frac{1}{2})} \int_{-1}^1 e^{-it\tau} (1 - t^2)^{\nu - \frac{1}{2}} dt.$$

Up to a simple factor it is a Bessel function:

$$J_\nu(\tau) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} \left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^\nu F_\nu(\tau).$$

(see for instance [Lebedev,1972], p. 114). The power series expansion of  $F_\nu$  is as follows

$$F_\nu(\tau) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{\Gamma(\nu + 1)}{\Gamma(k + \nu + 1)} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^{2k}.$$

The Fourier transform of the semi-circle law  $\sigma_a$  of radius  $a$  equals

$$\hat{\sigma}_a(\tau) = \frac{2}{\pi a^2} \int_{-a}^a e^{-it\tau} \sqrt{a^2 - t^2} dt = F_1(a\tau).$$

**THEOREM III.6.1 (WIGNER).** — *After scaling, the measure  $\mu_n$ , the statistical distribution of the eigenvalues, converges to the semi-circle law  $\sigma_a$  of radius*

$$a = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\gamma}},$$

*for the tight topology. Precisely, for every  $f \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R})$ ,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \mu_n(dt) = \frac{2}{\pi a^2} \int_{-a}^a f(u) \sqrt{a^2 - u^2} du.$$

*Proof.* By the Lévy-Cramér theorem it amounts to showing that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}_n\left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = \hat{\sigma}_a(\tau).$$

We computed  $\hat{\mu}_n$  in Section 4:

$$\hat{\mu}_n(\tau) = \frac{1}{n} e^{-\frac{\tau^2}{4\gamma}} L_{n-1}^1\left(\frac{\tau^2}{2\gamma}\right).$$

The expansion of the Laguerre polynomial  $L_n^\alpha$  is given by

$$L_n^\alpha(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(n+\alpha)!}{(k+\alpha)! k!(n-k)!} (-x)^k$$

(see for instance [Lebedev, 1972], p.77). Hence we obtain

$$\hat{\mu}_n\left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = e^{-\frac{\tau^2}{4\gamma n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k c_k(n) \frac{1}{k!(k+1)!} \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\gamma}} \frac{\tau}{2}\right)^{2k},$$

with

$$c_k(n) = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-k)}{n^k}.$$

Notice that  $k \leq n-1$ , and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_k(n) = 1, \quad 0 \leq c_k(n) \leq 1.$$

By the convergence of the majorant series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!(k+1)!} R^{2k},$$

one obtains the limit:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}_n\left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{n}}\right) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{1}{k!(k+1)!} \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\gamma}} \frac{\tau}{2}\right)^{2k} \\ &= F_1\left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{\gamma}} \tau\right) = \hat{\sigma}_a(\tau). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

#### IV. THE PROBABILITIES $A_n(m, B)$

**1. Fredholm determinant.** — Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a measured space such that  $\mu(X) < \infty$ . One considers the following integral equation

$$\varphi(x) - \lambda \int_X K(x, y)\varphi(y)\mu(dy) = f(x).$$

One assumes that  $K$  is a bounded measurable kernel on  $X \times X$ , and that  $f$  is measurable and bounded. One looks for a measurable bounded solution  $\varphi$ . For small  $\lambda$  one can solve the equation by iteration. For that one defines the sequence of functions:  $u_0(x) = f(x)$ ,

$$u_{n+1}(x) = \int_X K(x, y)u_n(y)\mu(dy).$$

Then

$$|u_n(x)| \leq (M\mu(X))^n \|f\|_\infty,$$

where  $M = \sup |K(x, y)|$ . Therefore, if  $|\lambda| < r = 1/(M\mu(X))$ , then the series

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n u_n(x)$$

converges uniformly on  $X$ . It is the unique solution of the integral equation. One defines the iterated kernels  $K^{(n)}$  by  $K^{(1)} = K$ , and

$$K^{(n)}(x, y) = \int_X K^{(n-1)}(x, z)K(z, y)\mu(dz).$$

The series

$$\Gamma(x, y; \lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{n-1} K^{(n)}(x, y)$$

converges uniformly on  $X \times X$  for  $|\lambda| < r$ . Its sum  $\Gamma(x, y; \lambda)$  is called the resolvent kernel because

$$\varphi(x) = f(x) + \lambda \int_X \Gamma(x, y; \lambda)f(y)\mu(dy).$$

As a function of  $\lambda$ ,  $\Gamma(x, y; \lambda)$  is holomorphic for  $|\lambda| < r$ .

The Fredholm determinant has been introduced in order to prove that the resolvent kernel  $\Gamma(x, y; \lambda)$  admits a meromorphic continuation to  $\mathbb{C}$ . It is defined by the following series

$$\begin{aligned} D(\lambda) &= \text{Det}(I - \lambda K) \\ &= 1 - \lambda \int_X K(x, x) \mu(dx) + \cdots \\ &\quad + \frac{(-\lambda)^n}{n!} \int_{X^n} K \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ x_1 & \cdots & x_n \end{pmatrix} \mu(dx_1) \cdots \mu(dx_n) + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION IV.1.1. — *The series converges for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $D(\lambda)$  is an entire function.*

*Proof.* To prove the convergence one uses the Hadamard inequality: let  $A$  be a  $n \times n$  complex matrix, and let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  denote the columns, then

$$|\det A| \leq \|A_1\| \cdots \|A_n\|.$$

( $\|A_j\|$  denotes the Euclidean norm of  $A_j$ .) It follows that

$$\left| K \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ x_1 & \cdots & x_n \end{pmatrix} \right| \leq (\sqrt{nM^2})^n = n^{\frac{n}{2}} M^n.$$

If  $a_n$  denotes the coefficient of  $\lambda^n$  in the series defining  $D(\lambda)$ ,

$$|a_n| \leq u_n = \frac{1}{n!} n^{\frac{n}{2}} M^n \mu(X)^n,$$

and

$$\frac{u_{n+1}}{u_n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} M \mu(X)$$

has limit 0. It follows that the radius of convergence is infinite.  $\square$

One defines also

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, y; \lambda) &= K(x, y) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda)^n}{n!} \int_{X^n} K \begin{pmatrix} x & x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ y & x_1 & \cdots & x_n \end{pmatrix} \mu(dx_1) \cdots \mu(dx_n). \end{aligned}$$

As  $D(\lambda)$  does, this series converges for all  $\lambda$ .

THEOREM IV.1.2 (FREDHOLM). — *For  $|\lambda| < r$ ,*

$$\Gamma(x, y; \lambda) = \frac{D(x, y; \lambda)}{D(\lambda)}.$$

Therefore the resolvent kernel has a meromorphic continuation to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* Put

$$D_0(x, y; \lambda) = D(\lambda)\Gamma(x, y; \lambda).$$

It is well defined for small  $\lambda$ , and satisfies

$$D_0(x, y; \lambda) = K(x, y)D(\lambda) + \lambda \int_X K(x, z)D_0(z, y; \lambda)\mu(dz).$$

Put also

$$D(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda)^n}{n!} a_n,$$

$$D_0(x, y; \lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda)^n}{n!} A_n(x, y).$$

Notice that  $a_0 = 1$ ,  $A_0(x, y) = K(x, y)$ . By identifying the coefficients of  $\lambda^n$  we get

$$A_n(x, y) = K(x, y)a_n - n \int_X K(x, z)A_{n-1}(z, y)\mu(dz).$$

Define also

$$B_n(x, y) = \int_{X^n} K \begin{pmatrix} x & x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ y & x_1 & \cdots & x_n \end{pmatrix} \mu(dx_1) \cdots \mu(dx_n).$$

We will see that the sequences  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  of kernels satisfy the same recursion relation. Since

$$A_0(x, y) = K(x, y), \quad B_0(x, y) = K(x, y),$$

it will follow that, for every  $n$ ,  $A_n(x, y) = B_n(x, y)$ , and

$$D_0(x, y; \lambda) = D(x, y; \lambda).$$

Let us expand the determinant

$$K \begin{pmatrix} x & x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ y & x_1 & \cdots & x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} K(x, y) & K(x, x_1) & \cdots & K(x, x_n) \\ K(x_1, y) & K(x_1, x_1) & \cdots & K(x_1, x_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ K(x_n, y) & K(x_n, x_1) & \cdots & K(x_n, x_n) \end{vmatrix}$$

with respect to the entries of the first row:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= K(x, y)K \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ x_1 & \cdots & x_n \end{pmatrix} - K(x, x_1)K \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \\ y & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \end{pmatrix} \\
&+ \cdots + (-1)^k K(x, x_k)K \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_k & x_{k+1} & \cdots & x_n \\ y & x_1 & \cdots & x_{k-1} & x_{k+1} & \cdots & x_n \end{pmatrix} \\
&+ \cdots + (-1)^n K(x, x_n)K \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \\ y & x_1 & \cdots & x_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating with respect to  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , and noticing that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{X^n} K(x, x_k)K \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_k & x_{k+1} & \cdots & x_n \\ y & x_1 & \cdots & x_{k-1} & x_{k+1} & \cdots & x_n \end{pmatrix} \\
&\mu(dx_1) \cdots \mu(dx_n) \\
&= (-1)^{k-1} \int_X K(x, z)B_{n-1}(z, y)\mu(dz),
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$B_n(x, y) = K(x, y)a_n - n \int_X K(x, z)B_{n-1}(z, y)\mu(dz). \quad \square$$

We introduce the following notation, for a kernel  $K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_n(K) &= \frac{1}{n!} \int_{X^n} K \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ x_1 & \cdots & x_n \end{pmatrix} \mu(dx_1) \cdots \mu(dx_n), \\
T_n(K) &= \int_X K^{(n)}(x, x)\mu(dx) \\
&= \int_{X^n} K(x_1, x_2)K(x_2, x_3) \cdots K(x_n, x_1)\mu(dx_1) \cdots \mu(dx_n).
\end{aligned}$$

By definition

$$D(\lambda) = \text{Det}(I - \lambda K) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-\lambda)^n S_n(K).$$

PROPOSITION IV.1.3. — For  $|\lambda| < r$ ,

$$\frac{D'(\lambda)}{D(\lambda)} = - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_{n+1}(K)\lambda^n.$$

*Proof.* By definition

$$\Gamma(x, y; \lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{n-1} K^{(n)}(x, y) \quad (|\lambda| < r),$$

therefore

$$\int_X \Gamma(x, x; \lambda) \mu(dx) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{n-1} T_n(K).$$

By Theorem IV.1.2

$$\Gamma(x, y; \lambda) = \frac{D(x, y; \lambda)}{D(\lambda)}.$$

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, y; \lambda) &= K(x, y) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda)^n}{n!} \int_{X^n} K \begin{pmatrix} x & x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ y & x_1 & \cdots & x_n \end{pmatrix} \mu(dx_1) \cdots \mu(dx_n). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X D(x, x; \lambda) \mu(dx) &= S_1(K) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1) S_{n+1}(K) (-\lambda)^n \\ &= -D'(\lambda). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

We will need two further properties.

PROPOSITION IV.1.4. — *Let  $K_j$  be a sequence of bounded measurable kernels on  $X$  such that, for every  $j, x, y$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} K_j(x, y) &= K(x, y) \quad (\forall x, y \in X), \\ |K_j(x, y)| &\leq M. \end{aligned}$$

*Then, for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,*

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \text{Det}(I - \lambda K_j) = \text{Det}(I - \lambda K),$$

*and the convergence is uniform in  $\lambda$  on compact sets.*

Let  $(X, \mu), (Y, \nu)$  be two measured spaces such that  $\mu(X) < \infty, \nu(Y) < \infty$ , and  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  a measurable map. One assumes that there is a bounded measurable function  $h$  on  $X$  such that, for  $f \in L^1(Y, \nu)$ ,

$$\int_Y f(y) \nu(dy) = \int_X f(\varphi(x)) h(x) \mu(dx).$$

PROPOSITION IV.1.5. — For a bounded measurable kernel  $K$  on  $Y$ , let us denote by  $\tilde{K}$  the kernel defined on  $X$  as

$$\tilde{K}(x, x') = K(\varphi(x), \varphi(x'))h(x').$$

Then

$$\text{Det}(I - \lambda\tilde{K}) = \text{Det}(I - \lambda K).$$

**2. Finite rank kernels.** — A finite rank kernel is of the form

$$K(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)g_i(y).$$

We assume that the functions  $f_i$  are linearly independent. To the kernel  $K$  one associates the integral operator  $L$  defined by

$$\tilde{L}f(x) = \int_X K(x, y)f(y)\mu(dy).$$

The space  $E$  generated by the functions  $f_i$  is invariant under  $\tilde{L}$ . Let  $L$  denote its restriction to  $E$ . The matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  of  $L$  with respect to the basis  $\{f_i\}$  is

$$a_{ij} = \int_X f_j(y)g_i(y)\mu(dy).$$

Further

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(L) &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_X f_i(x)g_i(x)\mu(dx) = \int_X K(x, x)\mu(dx), \\ \text{tr}(L^m) &= \int_X K^{(m)}(x, x)\mu(dx) = T_m(K). \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM IV.2.1.

$$\text{Det}(I - \lambda K) = \det(I - \lambda L).$$

The left hand side denotes the Fredholm determinant, the right hand side the usual one.

*Proof.* Put  $d(\lambda) = \det(I - \lambda L)$ . Then

$$\frac{d'(\lambda)}{d(\lambda)} = - \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \text{tr}(L^{m+1})\lambda^m.$$

In fact, if  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  are the eigenvalues of  $L$ , then

$$d(\lambda) = \det(I - \lambda L) = \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \lambda \alpha_j),$$

and, for small  $\lambda$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d'(\lambda)}{d(\lambda)} &= - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\alpha_j}{1 - \lambda \alpha_j} = - \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j^{m+1} \lambda^m \right) \\ &= - \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j^{m+1} \right) \lambda^m = - \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{tr}(L^{m+1}) \lambda^m. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since  $T_{m+1}(K) = \operatorname{tr}(L^{m+1})$ ,

$$\frac{D'(\lambda)}{D(\lambda)} = \frac{d'(\lambda)}{d(\lambda)}.$$

Furthermore, since  $D(0) = 1$ ,  $d(0) = 1$ , it follows that

$$D(\lambda) = d(\lambda). \quad \square$$

Let  $\Lambda^m(L)$  be the operator on the exterior power  $\Lambda^m E$  of  $E$  such that

$$\Lambda^m(L)(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_m) = (Lv_1) \wedge \dots \wedge (Lv_m) \quad (v_1, \dots, v_m \in E).$$

The eigenvalues of  $\Lambda^m(L)$  are the numbers  $\alpha_{j_1} \alpha_{j_2} \dots \alpha_{j_m}$  ( $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_m$ ), and its trace is

$$\operatorname{tr}(\Lambda^m(L)) = \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_m} \alpha_{j_1} \dots \alpha_{j_m} = \sigma_m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n),$$

where  $\sigma_m$  is the  $m$ -th elementary symmetric function. Hence

$$\det(I - \lambda L) = \sum_{m=0}^n (-1)^m \operatorname{tr}(\Lambda^m(L)) \lambda^m.$$

COROLLARY IV.2.2.

$$\operatorname{tr}(\Lambda^m(L)) = S_m(K) = \frac{1}{m!} \int_{X^m} K \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_m \\ x_1 & \dots & x_m \end{pmatrix} \mu(dx_1) \dots \mu(dx_m).$$

The number  $\text{tr}(\Lambda(L))$  can be expressed as a sum of determinants of order  $m$  extracted from the matrix  $A$  of  $L$ :

$$\text{tr}(\Lambda(L)) = \sum_{\#I=m} \Delta_I(A),$$

where  $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$  has  $m$  elements, and  $\Delta_I(A)$  is the associated determinant: if  $I = \{j_1, \dots, j_m\}$ , then

$$\Delta_I(A) = \det(a_{j_k j_\ell})_{1 \leq k, \ell < m}.$$

It is possible to prove Corollary IV.2.2 by showing directly that

$$\frac{1}{m!} \int_{X^m} K \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & x_m \\ x_1 & \cdots & x_m \end{pmatrix} \mu(dx_1) \dots \mu(dx_m) = \sum_{\#I=m} \Delta_I(A).$$

(See [Katz-Sarnak,1999] p.142-143.)

Notice that  $\text{tr}(\Lambda^m(L)) = 0$  if  $m > n$ .

*Exercise*

If  $K$  is the kernel of the orthogonal projection  $P$  on a linear subspace  $E \subset L^2(X, \mu)$  of dimension  $n$ , then

$$\int_{X^m} K \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \cdots & x_m \\ x_1 & \cdots & x_m \end{pmatrix} \mu(dx_1) \dots \mu(dx_m) = \begin{cases} \frac{n!}{(n-m)!} & \text{if } m \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{if } m > n. \end{cases}$$

**3. The probabilities  $A_n(m, B)$ .** — We consider on  $H_n = \text{Herm}(m, \mathbb{C})$  the probability measure

$$\mathbb{P}_n(dx) = \frac{1}{C_n} e^{-\text{tr}(V(x))} m_n(dx),$$

where  $V$  is a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\forall m \geq 0, \int_{\mathbb{R}} |t|^m e^{-V(t)} dt < \infty.$$

Recall that (see Section III.2), if  $f$  is a  $U(n)$ -invariant function, then

$$f(x) = F(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n),$$

where  $F$  is a symmetric function,  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are the eigenvalues of  $x$ , and

$$\int_{H_n} f(x) \mathbb{P}_n(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) q_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n.$$

For a Borel set  $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $A_n(m, B)$  denotes the probability that a random matrix  $x$  has exactly  $m$  eigenvalues in  $B$ . For  $m = 0$ ,  $A_n(0, B)$  is the probability for  $B$  to be a *hole* in the spectrum. Let  $\lambda_{\max}$  denote the largest eigenvalue. Then

$$\mathbb{P}_n(\{\lambda_{\max} \leq \alpha\}) = A_n(0, ]\alpha, \infty[).$$

We will see that the probability  $A_n(0, B)$  can be expressed as a Fredholm determinant. Recall that the kernel

$$K_n(s, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi_k(s) \varphi_k(t)$$

has been introduced in Section III.3.

PROPOSITION IV.3.1. — *Assume that the Borel set  $B$  is of finite Lebesgue measure. Then*

$$A_n(0, B) = \text{Det}_B(I - K_n).$$

*The index  $B$  means that the kernel  $K_n(s, t)$  is restricted to  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\chi$  be the characteristic function of the set  $B$ . Then the characteristic function of the set  $\{\forall j, \lambda_j \notin B\}$  is

$$\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \chi(\lambda_j)).$$

Therefore

$$A_n(0, B) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \chi(\lambda_j)) q_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n.$$

More generally we will compute

$$A(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - z\chi(\lambda_j)) q_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n.$$

Recall the formulae for the elementary symmetric functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) &= \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n, \\ \sigma_2(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) &= \sum_{i < j} \alpha_i \alpha_j, \\ &\vdots \\ \sigma_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) &= \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - z\alpha_j) = 1 - \sigma_1 z + \sigma_2 z^2 - \cdots + (-1)^n \sigma_n z^n.$$

Therefore

$$\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - z\chi(\lambda_j)) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k z^k \sigma_k(\chi(\lambda_1), \dots, \chi(\lambda_n)).$$

We compute now the integral of each term. By using the symmetry of the function  $q_n$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma_k(\chi(\lambda_1), \dots, \chi(\lambda_n)) q_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n \\ &= \binom{n}{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi(\lambda_1) \dots \chi(\lambda_k) q_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n \\ &= \frac{1}{k!} \int_{B^k} R_k(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_k, \end{aligned}$$

where  $R_k$  is the  $k$ -th correlation function (see Section III.3). We get finally

$$A(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} z^k \int_{B^k} R_k(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_k.$$

As we saw in the proof of Proposition III.3.2 (Mehta's formula 2), this is also equal to

$$A(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} z^k \int_{B^k} K_n \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \cdots & \lambda_k \\ \lambda_1 & \cdots & \lambda_k \end{pmatrix} d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_k,$$

and this is precisely the definition of the Fredholm determinant for the restriction of the kernel  $K_n$  to  $B$ :

$$A(z) = \text{Det}_B(I - zK_n). \quad \square$$

PROPOSITION IV.3.2.

$$A_n(m, B) = \frac{1}{m!} \left( -\frac{d}{dz} \right)^m \text{Det}_B(I - zK_n) \Big|_{z=1}.$$

*Proof.* The probability  $A_n(m, B)$  can be written

$$A_n(m, B) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{\#I=m} \prod_{i \in I} \chi(\lambda_i) \prod_{j \notin I} (1 - \chi(\lambda_j)) q_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n,$$

where the summation is taken over all subsets  $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $m$  elements. On the other hand one can establish the following formula

$$\left(-\frac{d}{dz}\right)^m \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - z\alpha_i) = m! \sum_{\#I=m} \prod_{i \in I} \alpha_i \prod_{j \notin I} (1 - z\alpha_j). \quad \square$$

Notice that

$$A(0) = \sum_{m=0}^n A_n(m, B) = 1,$$

and

$$A'(0) = \sum_{m=0}^n m A_n(m, B) = \mu_n(B)$$

is the expectation of the number of eigenvalues in the set  $B$ .

## V. ASYMPTOTICS OF THE PROBABILITIES $A_n(m, B)$

**1. Hermite polynomials and functions.** — The Hermite polynomials are defined by

$$H_n(x) = (-1)^n e^{x^2} \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^n e^{-x^2} = 2^n x^n + \dots$$

They are orthogonal with respect to the Gaussian measure  $\mu(dx) = e^{-x^2} dx$ :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} H_m(x) H_n(x) e^{-x^2} dx = 0 \text{ if } m \neq n,$$

and

$$d_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} H_n(x)^2 e^{-x^2} dx = 2^n n! \sqrt{\pi}.$$

In Section 2 of Chapter II we saw the following formula for the generating function:

$$w(x, t) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} H_n(x) = e^{2xt - t^2}.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} H_n(x) = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2x)^j}{j!} \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-t^2)^k}{k!} \right),$$

and

$$H_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^k \frac{n!}{k!(n-2k)!} (2x)^{n-2k}.$$

From this one deduces that

$$H'(x) = 2nH_{n-1}(x).$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} H_{2n}(0) &= (-1)^n \frac{(2n)!}{n!}, \quad H_{2n+1}(0) = 0, \\ H'_{2n}(0) &= 0, \quad H'_{2n+1}(0) = 2(-1)^n \frac{(2n+1)!}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

The Hermite function  $\varphi_n$  is defined by

$$\varphi_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_n}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} H_n(x).$$

The system  $\{\varphi_n\}$  is a Hilbert basis of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Let us recall the Christoffel-Darboux kernel as defined in Section 3 of Chapter III:

$$K_n(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi_k(x)\varphi_k(y) = e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{d_k} H_k(x)H_k(y).$$

From Proposition II.2.2 it follows that

PROPOSITION V.1.1. — For  $x \neq y$ ,

$$K_n(x, y) = \sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{\varphi_n(x)\varphi_{n-1}(y) - \varphi_{n-1}(x)\varphi_n(y)}{x - y},$$

and

$$K_n(x, x) = n\varphi_{n-1}(x)^2 - \sqrt{n(n-1)}\varphi_n(x)\varphi_{n-2}(x).$$

**2. Asymptotics of the Hermite functions.** — The Hermite function  $u = \varphi_n$  is an eigenfunction of the oscillator operator:

$$u'' - x^2u = -(2n + 1)u \quad (E).$$

In fact, it follows from the recursion formula

$$H_{n+1}(x) - 2xH_n(x) + 2nH_{n-1}(x) = 0,$$

and the relation  $H'_n(x) = 2nH_{n-1}(x)$ . For  $x$  small one considers the equation

$$u'' + (2n + 1)u = x^2u \quad (E)$$

as a perturbation of the equation

$$u'' + (2n + 1)u = 0. \quad (E_0)$$

The solutions of  $(E_0)$  are

$$A \cos(\sqrt{2n + 1}x) + B \sin(\sqrt{2n + 1}x).$$

Solving the differential equation

$$u'' + (2n + 1)u = g(x)$$

by using the Lagrange variation of constants method, one obtains

$$u(x) = u(0) \cos(\sqrt{2n + 1}x) + u'(0) \frac{\sin(\sqrt{2n + 1}x)}{\sqrt{2n + 1}} + \int_0^x \frac{\sin(\sqrt{2n + 1}(x - y))}{\sqrt{2n + 1}} g(y) dy.$$

Let  $r(x)$  denote this last integral. For  $g(x) = x^2 u(x)$ , by the Schwarz inequality

$$|r(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n+1}} \left( \int_0^x y^4 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^x u(y)^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and, if  $u$  is square integrable,

$$|r(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n+1}} \left( \int_0^\infty u(y)^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |x|^{\frac{5}{2}}.$$

One establishes finally:

PROPOSITION V.2.1.

$$\varphi_n(x) = \alpha_n \cos\left(\sqrt{2n+1}x - n\frac{\pi}{2}\right) + r_n(x),$$

with

$$|r_n(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{5}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n+1}} |x|^{\frac{5}{2}}.$$

For  $n = 2m$ ,

$$\alpha_{2m} = \varphi_{2m}(0) = \frac{(2m)!}{m!} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{2m}}},$$

and, if  $n = 2m + 1$ ,

$$\alpha_{2m+1} = \varphi'_{2m+1}(0) \frac{1}{\sqrt{4m+3}} = 2 \frac{(2m+1)!}{m!} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_{2m+1}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4m+3}}.$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\alpha_n \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

The last equivalence is obtained by using the Stirling formula

$$n! \sim \sqrt{2\pi n} n^{n+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-n}.$$

**3. Asymptotics of the probabilities  $A_n(m, B)$ .** — One considers on  $H_n = Herm(n, \mathbb{C})$  the Gaussian probability measure

$$\mathbb{P}_n(dx) = \frac{1}{C_n} e^{-\text{tr}(x^2)} m_n(dx).$$

i.e., from now on,  $V(t) = t^2$  with our previous notation. Recall that, for a Borel set  $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $A_n(m, B)$  is the probability that a Hermitian matrix  $x$  has  $m$  eigenvalues in  $B$ . In Section IV.3 we saw that

$$A_n(0, B) = \text{Det}_B(I - K_n),$$

where  $K_n$  is the Christoffel-Darboux kernel:

$$K_n(s, t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi_k(s) \varphi_k(t),$$

and

$$A_n(m, B) = \frac{1}{m!} \left( -\frac{d}{dz} \right)^m \text{Det}_B(I - zK_n) \Big|_{z=1}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the kernel

$$\mathcal{K}(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\sin(\xi - \eta)}{\xi - \eta}.$$

**THEOREM V.3.1.** — *Let  $B \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded Borel set. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n \left( 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}} B \right) = \text{Det}_B(I - \mathcal{K}).$$

*Proof.* Using results of Section IV.1 we can write

$$A_n \left( 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}} B \right) = \text{Det}_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}} B} (I - K_n) = \text{Det}_B (I - \tilde{K}_n),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}_n(\xi, \eta) &= K_n \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}} \xi, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}} \eta \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{\xi - \eta} \left( \varphi_n \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}} \xi \right) \varphi_{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}} \eta \right) - \varphi_{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}} \xi \right) \varphi_n \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}} \eta \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By using the asymptotics of the Hermite functions  $\varphi_n$  which have been established in Section 2 one shows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{K}_n(\xi, \eta) = \mathcal{K}(\xi, \eta),$$

and that there exists a constant  $M > 0$  such that, for  $\xi, \eta \in B$ ,

$$\forall n, |\tilde{K}_n(\xi, \eta)| \leq M.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Det}_B(I - \tilde{K}_n) = \text{Det}_B(I - \mathcal{K}). \quad \square$$

COROLLARY V.3.2. — *Let  $B \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded Borel set. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n\left(m, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}}B\right) = \frac{1}{m!} \left(-\frac{d}{dz}\right)^m \text{Det}_B(I - z\mathcal{K})|_{z=1}.$$

*Proof.* We saw that

$$A_n\left(m, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}}B\right) = \frac{1}{m!} \left(-\frac{d}{dz}\right)^m \text{Det}_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}}B}(I - zK_n)|_{z=1},$$

and this can be written

$$= \frac{1}{m!} \left(-\frac{d}{dz}\right)^m \text{Det}_B(I - z\tilde{K}_n)|_{z=1}.$$

Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Det}_B(I - z\tilde{K}_n) = \text{Det}_B(I - z\mathcal{K})$$

uniformly in  $z$  on compact sets in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(-\frac{d}{dz}\right)^m \text{Det}_B(I - z\tilde{K}_n) = \left(-\frac{d}{dz}\right)^m \text{Det}_B(I - z\mathcal{K}). \quad \square$$

*Remark*

The convergence to the semi-circle law we saw in Section III.6 corresponds to asymptotics of  $\varphi_n(x\sqrt{n})$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . It is a convergence of global character. The convergence of the probabilities  $A_n(m, B)$  has a local character. It corresponds to asymptotics of  $\varphi_n\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ .

**4. Asymptotics of the probabilities  $A_n(0, B)$  in terms of the eigenvalues of a nuclear operator.** — An operator  $A$  on a Banach space  $E$  is said to be nuclear (or of trace class) if it can be written

$$Av = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f_n, v \rangle e_n,$$

with  $e_n \in E$ ,  $f_n \in E'$ , and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|e_n\| \|f_n\| < \infty.$$

Assume now that  $E = \mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space. Let  $\{e_n\}$  be a Hilbert basis of  $\mathcal{H}$ . If the operator  $A$  is nuclear, then the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (Ae_n | e_n)$$

is absolutely convergent, and the sum does not depend on the Hilbert basis. By definition it is the trace of  $A$ :

$$\operatorname{tr}(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (Ae_n | e_n).$$

A nuclear operator is compact. Conversely let  $A$  be a compact operator. Then  $A^*A$  is compact and selfadjoint  $\geq 0$ . Let  $\alpha_n$  be the non zero eigenvalues of  $A^*A$ . The numbers  $\mu_n = \sqrt{\alpha_n}$  are called the characteristic values (or singular values) of  $A$ . One shows that the operator is nuclear if and only if

$$\|A\|_1 := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n < \infty,$$

and  $\|\cdot\|_1$  is a norm on the space  $\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$  of nuclear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and

$$|\operatorname{tr}(A)| \leq \|A\|_1.$$

If  $A$  is a nuclear operator, then  $\Lambda^m(A)$  acting on the  $m$ -th exterior power  $\Lambda^m(\mathcal{H})$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  is nuclear too, and

$$\|\Lambda^m(A)\|_1 \leq \frac{\|A\|_1^m}{m!}.$$

The Fredholm determinant of  $I - \lambda A$  is defined by

$$d(\lambda) = \det(I - \lambda A) = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^m \operatorname{tr}(\Lambda^m(A)) \lambda^m.$$

It is an entire function of  $\lambda$ , and, for small  $\lambda$ ,

$$\frac{d'(\lambda)}{d(\lambda)} = - \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{tr}(A^{m+1}) \lambda^m.$$

By the inequality above

$$|\det(I + A)| \leq \exp(\|A\|_1).$$

One shows that, for two nuclear operators  $A$  and  $B$ ,

$$|\det(I + A) - \det(I + B)| \leq \|A - B\|_1 \exp(\|A\|_1 + \|B\|_1 + 1).$$

Therefore the function  $A \mapsto \det(I + A)$  is continuous on the space  $\mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{H})$  of nuclear operators.

Let the operator  $A$  be nuclear and selfadjoint, and let  $\alpha_k$  be the non zero eigenvalues of  $A$ , each being repeated according to the dimension of the corresponding eigenspace. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|_1 &= \sum_k |\alpha_k|, \\ \text{tr}(A) &= \sum_k \alpha_k, \\ \det(I - \lambda A) &= \prod_k (1 - \lambda \alpha_k). \end{aligned}$$

An operator  $A$  on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is said to be Hilbert-Schmidt if, for a Hilbert basis  $\{e_n\}$ ,

$$(\|A\|_2)^2 := \sum_{m,n} |(Ae_n|e_m)|^2 < \infty.$$

This number does not depend on the basis, and  $\|A\|_2$  is the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of  $A$ . A Hilbert-Schmidt operator is compact. Conversely let  $A$  be a compact operator, with characteristic values  $\mu_n$ . Then  $A$  is Hilbert-Schmidt if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n^2 < \infty,$$

and this sum is equal to  $(\|A\|_2)^2$ . If the operator  $A$  is Hilbert-Schmidt and selfadjoint with non zero eigenvalues  $\lambda_n$ , then

$$(\|A\|_2)^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^2.$$

The space  $\mathcal{L}_2(\mathcal{H})$  of Hilbert-Schmidt operators is a Hilbert space for the inner product

$$(A|B)_2 = \sum_{n,m} (Ae_n|e_m)(\overline{Be_n|e_m}) = \sum_n (Ae_n|Be_n) = \sum_n (B^* Ae_n|e_n).$$

The product of two Hilbert-Schmidt operators is nuclear, and

$$\|AB\|_1 \leq \|A\|_2 \|B\|_2, \quad \text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA) = (A|B^*)_2.$$

Assume now that  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(X, \mu)$ , where  $(X, \mu)$  is a measured space. Then a Hilbert-Schmidt operator  $A$  is an integral operator:

$$Af(x) = \int_X K(x, y)f(y)\mu(dy),$$

where  $K(x, y)$  is a square integrable kernel:  $K \in L^2(X \times X, \mu \otimes \mu)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_2(\mathcal{H}) &\simeq L^2(X \times X, \mu \otimes \mu), \\ (\|A\|_2)^2 &= \int_{X \times X} |K(x, y)|^2 \mu(dx)\mu(dy). \end{aligned}$$

If  $A$  and  $B$  are Hilbert-Schmidt operators with kernels  $H$  and  $K$ , then  $C = AB$  is an integral operator

$$Cf(x) = \int_X L(x, y)f(y)\mu(dy),$$

with kernel

$$L(x, y) = \int_X H(x, z)K(z, y)\mu(dz).$$

The operator  $C$  is nuclear and

$$\text{tr}(C) = \int_X L(x, x)\mu(dx).$$

Assume furthermore that  $X$  is a compact topological space, that the measure  $\mu$  is bounded with  $\text{supp}(\mu) = X$ . Let the kernel  $K$  be continuous and Hermitian:

$$K(y, x) = \overline{K(x, y)},$$

and of positive type: for any  $x_1, \dots, x_N \in X$ , and  $c_1, \dots, c_N \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^N K(x_i, x_j)c_i\bar{c}_j \geq 0.$$

The operator  $A$  on  $L^2(X, \mu)$  associated to  $K$ :

$$Af(x) = \int_X K(x, y)f(y)\mu(dy),$$

is positive selfadjoint and compact. Let  $\alpha_k$  be the non zero eigenvalues of  $A$ , and  $\psi_k$  the corresponding normalized eigenfunctions:

$$\int_X K(x, y)\psi_k(y)\mu(dy) = \alpha_k\psi_k(x),$$

$$\int_X |\psi_k(x)|^2\mu(dx) = 1.$$

**THEOREM V.4.1 (MERCER).** — *Let the kernel  $K$  be continuous, Hermitian, and of positive type. Then*

a) For  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$K(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k \psi_k(x) \overline{\psi_k(y)}.$$

*The convergence is uniform on  $X \times X$ .*

b) *The operator  $A$  is nuclear and*

$$\text{tr}(A) = \int_X K(x, x)\mu(dx).$$

For such an operator both definitions of Fredholm determinant agree:

$$\text{Det}(I - \lambda K) = \det(I - \lambda A).$$

Let us come back to the kernel  $\mathcal{K}$ :

$$\mathcal{K}(x, y) = \frac{\sin(x - y)}{x - y}.$$

It is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$  and of positive type. In fact it is the limit of the kernels  $K_n$  which are of positive type. One can see it also directly:

$$\frac{\sin x}{x} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 e^{itx} dt,$$

therefore

$$\sum_{j, k=1}^N \mathcal{K}(x_j, x_k) c_j \bar{c}_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \left| \sum_{j=1}^N e^{itx_j} c_j \right|^2 dt \geq 0.$$

The operator  $P$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  with kernel  $\mathcal{K}$  is the projection on the subspace of the functions whose Fourier transform support is  $\subset [-1, 1]$ . In fact

$$\widehat{Pf} = \chi_{[-1,1]}\hat{f}.$$

Take now  $B = [-\theta, \theta]$  ( $\theta > 0$ ), and let  $A$  be the operator defined on  $L^2([-\theta, \theta])$  by

$$Af(x) = \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} \mathcal{K}(x, y)f(y)dy.$$

It is positive selfadjoint and nuclear by Mercer's theorem. Let  $\alpha_k$  be its eigenvalues (they are all positive). We can write  $A = Q_B P Q_B$ , where  $Q_B$  is the projection given by

$$Q_B f(x) = \chi_B(x)f(x).$$

It follows that, as a selfadjoint operator,  $0 \leq A \leq I$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha_k \leq 1$ , and

$$\det(I - A) = \prod_k (1 - \alpha_k) \leq 1.$$

Finally

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n \left( 0, \left[ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}}\theta, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}}\theta \right] \right) = \prod_k (1 - \alpha_k).$$

If we were able to evaluate the infinite product  $\prod_k (1 - \alpha_k)$  as a function of  $\theta$ , it should give information about the asymptotic spacing of the small eigenvalues.

*Exercise*

Define

$$f(z) = \prod_k (1 - z\alpha_k).$$

Prove that

$$\frac{1}{m!} \left( -\frac{d}{dz} \right)^m f(z) = \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_m} \frac{\alpha_{j_1}}{1 - z\alpha_{j_1}} \cdots \frac{\alpha_{j_m}}{1 - z\alpha_{j_m}}.$$

## VI WISHART UNITARY ENSEMBLE

**1. The Wishart unitary ensemble.** — Let  $\Omega_n$  be the cone of positive definite  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrices in the vector space  $H_n = \text{Herm}(n, \mathbb{C})$ . For  $p > n-1$ , the Wishart law  $W_n^p$  is the probability measure on  $\Omega_n$  defined by

$$\int_{\Omega_n} f(x) W_n^p(dx) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_n(p)} \int_{\Omega_n} f(x) e^{-\text{tr}(x)} (\det x)^{p-n} m_n(dx),$$

for a bounded measurable function  $f$ , where  $m_n$  is the Euclidean measure associated to the inner product  $(x|y) = \text{tr}(xy)$  on  $H_n$ , and  $\Gamma_n$  is the gamma function of the cone  $\Omega_n$ :

$$\Gamma_n(p) = \int_{\Omega_n} e^{-\text{tr}(x)} (\det x)^{p-n} m_n(dx).$$

The probability space  $(\Omega_n, W_n^p)$  is called the Wishart unitary ensemble. In fact the Wishart law  $W_n^p$  is invariant for the action of the unitary group  $U(n)$  given by the transformations

$$x \mapsto uxu^* \quad (u \in U(n)).$$

PROPOSITION VI.1.1.

$$\Gamma_n(p) = (2\pi)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(p-j+1).$$

*Proof.* Let  $T_n \subset GL(n; \mathbb{C})$  be the group of upper triangular matrices with positive diagonal entries. The map

$$T_n \rightarrow \Omega_n, \quad t \mapsto x = tt^*,$$

is a diffeomorphism. If  $f$  is an integrable function on  $\Omega_n$  with respect to  $m_n$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega_n} f(x) m_n(dx) = 2^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \int_{T_n} f(tt^*) \prod_{j=1}^n t_{jj}^{2j-1} \prod_{j=1}^n dt_{jj} \prod_{j < k} d(\Re t_{jk}) d(\Im t_{jk}).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_n(p) &= 2^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \int_{T_n} e^{-(\sum_{j=1}^n t_{jj}^2 + \sum_{j < k} |t_{jk}|^2)} \prod_{j=1}^n t_{jj}^{2(p-n)} \prod_{j=1}^n t_{jj}^{2j-1} \\ &\quad \prod_{j=1}^n dt_{jj} \prod_{j < k} d(\Re t_{jk}) d(\Im t_{jk}). \end{aligned}$$

By using the classical formulae

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt = \sqrt{\pi}, \quad \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t^2} t^\alpha dt = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{2}\right),$$

one obtains

$$\Gamma_n(p) = (2\pi)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(p-j+1). \quad \square$$

The Laplace transform of the Wishart law has a simple expression:

PROPOSITION VI.1.2. — For  $\zeta = \xi + i\eta \in H_n + iH_n \simeq M(n, \mathbb{C})$ , with  $\xi + I \in \Omega_n$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}W_n^p(\zeta) = \int_{\Omega_n} e^{-\text{tr}(\zeta x)} W_n^p(dx) = \det(I + \zeta)^{-p}.$$

*Proof.* One starts from the formula

$$\int_{\Omega_n} e^{-\text{tr}(x)} (\det x)^{p-n} m_n(dx) = \Gamma_n(p),$$

and changes the variable: one puts  $x = gx'g^*$  with  $g \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ . Then

$$m_n(dx) = |\det g|^{2n} m_n(dx'),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_n} e^{-\text{tr}(x)} (\det x)^{p-n} m_n(dx) \\ &= |\det g|^{2p} \int_{\Omega_n} e^{-\text{tr}(gx'g^*)} (\det x')^{p-n} m_n(dx'). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for  $y = g^*g$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega_n} e^{-\text{tr}(x'y)} (\det x')^{p-n} m_n(dx') = \Gamma_n(p) (\det y)^{-p}.$$

Since, for  $y \in \Omega_n$ , there exists  $g \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $y = g^*g$ , the proposition is proven for  $\Im(\zeta) = \eta = 0$ .

The two functions  $\zeta \mapsto \mathcal{L}W_n^p(\zeta)$  and  $\zeta \mapsto \det(I + \zeta)^{-p}$  are holomorphic in the open set

$$\{\zeta = \xi + i\eta \mid \xi + I \in \Omega_n\} = (\Omega_n - I) + iH_n,$$

and are equal for  $\zeta = \xi$ ,  $\xi + I \in \Omega_n$ . Hence they are equal in  $(\Omega_n - I) + iH_n$ .  $\square$

On the space  $M(n, p; \mathbb{C})$  of  $n \times p$  complexes matrices let us denote by  $\mathbb{P}$  the Gaussian probability measure

$$\mathbb{P}(d\xi) = \frac{1}{\pi^{np}} e^{-\text{tr}(\xi\xi^*)} m(d\xi).$$

We consider the map

$$Q : M(n, p; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \overline{\Omega_n}, \quad \xi \mapsto \xi\xi^*.$$

PROPOSITION VI.1.3. — *If  $p \geq n$ , then the image by the map  $Q$  of the Gaussian probability  $\mathbb{P}$  is the Wishart law  $W_n^p$ .*

This means that, for a function  $f$  on  $\overline{\Omega_n}$  which is integrable with respect to  $W_n^p$ ,

$$\int_{M(n, p; \mathbb{C})} f(x) W_n^p(dx) = \int_{\overline{\Omega_n}} f(\xi\xi^*) \mathbb{P}(d\xi).$$

*Proof.* The measure  $\mu = Q(\mathbb{P})$  is the measure on  $\overline{\Omega_n}$  such that, for a function  $f$  on  $\overline{\Omega_n}$ , measurable and bounded,

$$\int_{\overline{\Omega_n}} f(x) \mu(dx) = \int_{M(n, p; \mathbb{C})} f(Q(\xi)) \mathbb{P}(d\xi).$$

Let us compute the Laplace transform of the image  $\mu = Q(\mathbb{P})$ . By taking

$$f(x) = e^{-\text{tr}(x\zeta)},$$

with  $\zeta = \xi + i\eta \in H_n + iH_n$ ,  $\xi + I \in \Omega_n$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}\mu(\zeta) &= \frac{1}{\pi^{np}} \int_{M(n, p; \mathbb{C})} e^{-\text{tr}(\zeta\xi\xi^*)} e^{-\text{tr}(\xi\xi^*)} m(d\xi) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^{np}} \int_{M(n, p; \mathbb{C})} e^{-\text{tr}((I+\zeta)\xi\xi^*)} m(d\xi) \\ &= \det(I + \zeta)^{-p}. \end{aligned}$$

By the injectivity of the Laplace transform, this proves the proposition.  $\square$

If  $p < n$ , then the image of  $\mathbb{P}$  is a well defined probability measure supported on the boundary  $\partial\Omega_n$  of  $\Omega_n$ . It is singular with respect to

the Euclidean measure. We will denote it also by  $W_n^p$ . In fact it can be obtained by analytic continuation from  $W_n^p$ ,  $p > n - 1$ , with respect to  $p$ . Therefore we obtain a family of probability measures  $W_n^p$  for  $p$  in the so called Wallach set

$$\{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\} \cup ]n - 1, \infty[.$$

**2. The statistical distribution of the eigenvalues.** — Assume  $p > n - 1$ , and let  $f$  be a  $U(n)$ -invariant function on  $\Omega_n$ :

$$f(uxu^*) = f(x).$$

The function  $f$  only depends on the eigenvalues of  $x$ ,

$$f(x) = F(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n),$$

where  $F$  is a symmetric function on  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ . If  $f$  is integrable with respect to  $W_n^p$ , it follows from the Weyl integration formula (Theorem III.1.1) that

$$\int_{\Omega_n} f(x) W_n^p(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} F(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) q_n^p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n,$$

with

$$q_n^p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \frac{1}{Z_n^p} e^{-(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n)} \Delta(\lambda)^2 \prod_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^{p-n},$$

where

$$Z_n^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} e^{-(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n)} \Delta(\lambda)^2 \prod_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^{p-n} d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n.$$

As we did for the Gaussian unitary ensemble we will study the asymptotics of the statistical distribution of the eigenvalues, i.e. we will study, as  $n$  and  $p$  go to infinity, the asymptotics of the probability measure  $\mu_n^p$  defined on  $[0, \infty[$  by, if  $f$  is a bounded measurable function,

$$\int_{[0, \infty[} f(t) \mu_n^p(dt) = \int_{\Omega_n} \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(f(x)) W_n^p(dx).$$

For  $p > n - 1$  this measure is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure,

$$\mu_n^p(dt) = w_n^p(t) dt,$$

with

$$w_n^p(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} q(t, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n.$$

We will use Mehta's formulae to express this density  $w_n^p$  in terms of the Christoffel-Darboux kernel for the Laguerre polynomials. Recall that the Laguerre polynomials  $L_n^\alpha$  ( $\alpha > -1$ ) are defined by

$$L_n^\alpha(x) = \frac{1}{n!} e^x x^{-\alpha} \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^n (e^{-x} x^{n+\alpha}).$$

The Laguerre polynomials  $L_n^\alpha$  are orthogonal with respect to the inner product

$$(p|q) = \int_0^\infty p(x)q(x)e^{-x}x^\alpha dx,$$

and

$$d_n^\alpha = \int_0^\infty (L_n^\alpha(x))^2 e^{-x} x^\alpha dx = \frac{\Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)}{n!}.$$

We define the Laguerre functions as

$$\varphi_n^\alpha(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_n^\alpha}} L_n^\alpha(x) e^{-\frac{x}{2}} x^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}.$$

They constitute a Hilbert basis of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . We define also the Christoffel-Darboux kernel

$$K_n^\alpha(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi_k^\alpha(x) \varphi_k^\alpha(y).$$

PROPOSITION VI.2.1. — *For  $p > n - 1$ , the density of the measure  $\mu_n^p$ , the statistical distribution of the eigenvalues, is given by*

$$w_n^p(t) = \frac{1}{n} K_n^{p-n}(t, t).$$

This is a special case of Proposition III.3.2.

Assume  $p \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$ . A matrix  $\xi \in M(n, p; \mathbb{C})$  can be decomposed as

$$\xi = u \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \alpha_p & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \end{pmatrix} v,$$

with  $\alpha_1 \geq 0, \dots, \alpha_p \geq 0$ ,  $u \in U(n)$ ,  $v \in U(p)$ . The  $p$  eigenvalues of the  $p \times p$  Hermitian matrix  $\xi^* \xi$  are  $\lambda_1 = \alpha_1^2, \dots, \lambda_p = \alpha_p^2$ , and the  $n$  eigenvalues of the  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrix  $\xi \xi^*$  are  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p, 0, \dots, 0$ . Hence, for  $x = \xi \xi^*$ ,

$$\text{tr}(\varphi(x)) = \varphi(\lambda_1) + \dots + \varphi(\lambda_p) + (n-p)\varphi(0).$$

Therefore

PROPOSITION VI.2.2. — For  $p \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ , the measure  $\mu_n^p$  is given by

$$\int_{[0, \infty[} \varphi(t) \mu_n^p(dt) = \left(1 - \frac{p}{n}\right) \varphi(0) + \frac{1}{n} \int_0^\infty \varphi(t) K_p^{n-p}(t, t) dt.$$

**3. Convergence to the Marchenko-Pastur law.** — The Marchenko-Pastur law  $\mu_c$  ( $c > 0$ ) is the probability measure on  $[0, \infty[$  given by

$$\int_{[0, \infty[} \varphi(t) \mu_c(dt) = \max\{1-c, 0\} \varphi(0) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_a^b \varphi(t) \sqrt{(t-a)(b-t)} \frac{dt}{t},$$

where  $a = (\sqrt{c} - 1)^2$ ,  $b = (\sqrt{c} + 1)^2$ .

*Remark*

It is possible to check that the measure  $\mu_c$  depends continuously on  $c$  with respect to the tight topology.

Assuming that  $p$  depends on  $n$ :  $p = p(n)$ , in such a way that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(n)}{n} = c,$$

we will see that, after scaling, the measure  $\mu_n^p$  converges to the Marchenko-Pastur law  $\mu_c$  as  $n$  goes to infinity.

THEOREM V.3.1(MARCHENKO-PASTUR). — Assume that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(n)}{n} = c.$$

Then, for a bounded continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0, \infty[} \varphi\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) \mu_n^p(dt) = \int_{[0, \infty[} \varphi(t) \mu_c(dt).$$

We will present a proof due to H. Haagerup and S. Thorbjørnsen (Random matrices with complex Gaussian entries, Exp. Math. 21 (2003), 293-337.) The method amounts to computing the Laplace transform of the measure  $\mu_n^p$ , and to studying the asymptotic of this Laplace transform.

LEMMA VI.3.2.

$$\frac{d}{dt}(tK_n^\alpha(t, t)) = \sqrt{n(n+\alpha)}\varphi_{n-1}^\alpha(t)\varphi_n^\alpha(t).$$

*Proof.* Define

$$\mathcal{K}_n^\alpha(s, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{d_k^\alpha} L_k^\alpha(s) L_k^\alpha(t).$$

By Proposition II.2.2,

$$\mathcal{K}_n^\alpha(t, t) = \frac{n!}{\Gamma(n+\alpha)} ((L_{n-1}^\alpha)'(t)L_n^\alpha(t) - (L_n^\alpha)'(t)L_{n-1}^\alpha(t)),$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{K}_n^\alpha(t, t) = \frac{n!}{\Gamma(n+\alpha)} ((L_{n-1}^\alpha)''(t)L_n^\alpha(t) - (L_n^\alpha)''(t)L_{n-1}^\alpha(t)),$$

By using that  $u = L_n^\alpha$  is solution of the differential equation

$$tu'' + (\alpha + 1 - x)u' + nu = 0,$$

one obtains

$$t\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{K}_n^\alpha(t, t) + (\alpha + 1 - t)\mathcal{K}_n^\alpha(t, t) = \frac{n!}{\Gamma(n+\alpha)} L_{n-1}^\alpha(t)L_n^\alpha(t).$$

Finally, since

$$K_n^\alpha(s, t) = \mathcal{K}_n^\alpha(s, t) s^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} e^{-\frac{s+t}{2}},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(tK_n^\alpha(t, t)) &= \frac{d}{dt}(\mathcal{K}_n^\alpha(t, t)t^{\alpha+1}e^{-t}) \\ &= (t\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{K}_n^\alpha(t, t) + (\alpha + 1 - t)\mathcal{K}_n^\alpha(t, t))t^\alpha e^{-t} \\ &= \frac{n!}{\Gamma(n+\alpha)} L_{n-1}^\alpha(t)L_n^\alpha(t)t^\alpha e^{-t} \\ &= \sqrt{n(n+\alpha)}\varphi_{n-1}^\alpha(t)\varphi_n^\alpha(t). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

LEMMA VI.3.3. — For  $p > n - 1$ ,  $\Re\lambda > -1$ ,

$$\int_0^\infty t e^{-\lambda t} \mu_n^p(dt) = np \frac{1}{(1+\lambda)^{p+n}} {}_2F_1(1-p, 1-n, 2; \lambda^2).$$

Notice that  ${}_2F_1(1-p, 1-n, 2; \lambda^2)$  is a polynomial in  $\lambda$ . In fact, since  $1-n$  is a negative integer,

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_2F_1(1-p, 1-n, 2; \lambda^2) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-n)_j (1-p)_j}{(2)_j j!} \lambda^{2j} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-j)(p-1)(p-2)\dots(p-j)}{j!(j+1)!} \lambda^{2j}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We start from the following result, we will not prove: for  $\Re\lambda > -1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty L_j^\alpha(t) L_k^\alpha(t) e^{-\lambda t} t^\alpha e^{-t} dt \\ &= \frac{d_j^\alpha d_k^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \frac{\lambda^{j+k}}{(1+\lambda)^{\alpha+j+k+1}} {}_2F_1(-j, -k, \alpha+1; \frac{1}{\lambda^2}). \end{aligned}$$

(See [Haagerup-Thorbjørnsen, 2003] p.317.) Taking  $j = n-1$ ,  $k = n$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \varphi_{n-1}^\alpha(t) \varphi_n^\alpha(t) dt \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{d_{n-1}^\alpha d_n^\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \frac{\lambda^{2n-1}}{(1+\lambda)^{2n+\alpha}} {}_2F_1(-n+1, -n, \alpha+1; \frac{1}{\lambda^2}). \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma VI.3.2, and classical properties of the hypergeometric function  ${}_2F_1$ , Lemma VI.3.3 follows.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem VI.3.1 a)* We assume first that  $p > n - 1$ . By using Lemma VI.3.3 we can compute

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{t}{n} e^{-\lambda \frac{t}{n}} \mu_n^p(dt) = \frac{n}{p} (1+\lambda)^{-(p+n)} {}_2F_1(1-p, 1-n, 2; \frac{\lambda^2}{n^2}).$$

First

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{-(p(n)+n)} = e^{-(c+1)\lambda}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
& {}_2F_1\left(1-p, 1-n; 2; \frac{\lambda^2}{n^2}\right) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-p)_j (1-n)_j}{(2)_j j!} \frac{\lambda^{2j}}{n^{2j}} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(n) \frac{1}{j!(j+1)!} \lambda^{2j},
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$a_j(n) = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-j)(p-1)(p-2)\dots(p-j)}{n^{2j}}.$$

Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_j(n) = 1, \quad |a_j(n)| \leq \gamma^j,$$

with

$$\gamma = \sup \frac{p(n)}{n},$$

it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} {}_2F_1\left(1-p, 1-n; 2; \frac{\lambda^2}{n^2}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{c^j}{j!(j+1)!} \lambda^{2j} = F_1(2i\sqrt{c}\lambda).$$

with the notation of Section III.6. We saw that  $F_1(r\tau)$  is the Fourier transform of the semi-circle law  $\sigma_r$ . The factor  $e^{-(c+1)\lambda}$  corresponds to a shift:  $e^{-i(c+1)\tau} F_1(2\sqrt{c}\tau)$  is the Fourier transform of the probability measure  $\nu$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \nu(dt) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_a^b f(t) \sqrt{(t-a)(b-t)} dt,$$

with

$$a = -2\sqrt{c} + c + 1 = (\sqrt{c} - 1)^2, \quad b = 2\sqrt{c} + c + 1 = (\sqrt{c} + 1)^2.$$

By Lévy-Kramér Theorem (Theorem III.5.1), this shows that, for every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_c([0, \infty[)$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0, \infty[} \frac{t}{n} \varphi\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) \mu_n^p(dt) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_a^b f(t) \sqrt{(t-a)(b-t)} dt.$$

It follows that there is a constant  $A \geq 0$  such that, for  $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_c([0, \infty[)$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0, \infty[} \psi\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) \mu_n^p(dt) = A\psi(0) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_a^b \psi(t) \sqrt{(t-a)(b-t)} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

The integral

$$I(c) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_a^b f(t) \sqrt{(t-a)(b-t)} \frac{dt}{t}$$

can be evaluated:

$$I(c) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } c > 1, \\ c, & \text{if } c < 1. \end{cases}$$

Since the limit measure is a probability measure, it follows that  $A = 0$

b) For  $p \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ , by Proposition VI.2.2:

$$\int_{[0, \infty[} \varphi(t) \mu_n^p(dt) = \left(1 - \frac{p}{n}\right) \varphi(0) + \frac{1}{n} \int_0^\infty \varphi(t) K_p^{n-p}(t, t) dt.$$

One shows as in the case  $p > n-1$  that, for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_c([0, \infty[)$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0, \infty[} \frac{t}{n} \varphi\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) \mu_n^p(dt) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_a^b \varphi(t) \sqrt{(t-a)(b-t)} dt,$$

and that there exists a constant  $A \geq 0$  such that, for  $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_c([0, \infty[)$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0, \infty[} \psi\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) \mu_n^p(dt) = A\psi(0) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_a^b \psi(t) \sqrt{(t-a)(b-t)} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

We saw that  $I(c) = c$  for  $c < 1$ . Since the limit measure is a probability measure, it follows that  $A = 1 - c$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

### *Books*

- P. DEIFT (2000). Orthogonal polynomials and random matrices: a Riemann-Hilbert approach. *Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences & A.M.S.*
- M.L. MEHTA (1991). Random matrix. *Academic Press*.
- F. HIAI, D. PETZ (2000). The semi-circle law, free random variables and entropy. *A.M.S.*
- N.M. KATZ, P.SARNAK (1999). Random matrices, Frobenius eigenvalues and monodromy. *A.M.S.*
- P.M. BLEHER, A.R. ITS (eds) (2001). Random matrix models and their applications. *MSRI Publications, vol.4, Cambridge University Press*.

### *Lecture Notes*

- Z.D. BAI (1999). Methodologies in spectral analysis of large dimensional random matrices, a review, *Statistica Sinica*, **9**, 611–677.
- G. ANDERSON, O. ZEITOUNI (2003). Lecture notes on random matrices. *Preprint*.

### *Articles*

- P. DEIFT, T. KRIECHERBAUER, T. T-R. MCLAUGHLIN, S. VENAKIDES, X. ZHOU (1999). Uniform asymptotics for polynomials orthogonal with respect to varying exponential weights and applications to universality questions in random matrix theory, *Comm. pure and applied math.*, **52**, 1335–1425.
- P. DEIFT, T. KRIECHERBAUER, K. T-R MCLAUGHLIN, S. VENAKIDES, X. ZHOU (1999). Strong asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials with respect to exponential weights, *Comm. pure and applied math.*, **52**, 1491–1552.
- F.J. DYSON (1962). Statistical theory of the energy levels of complex systems, *J. Math. Physics*, **3**, I : 140–156, II : 157–165, III : 166–175.
- U. HAAGERUP, S. THORBJØRNSEN (2003). Random matrices with complex Gaussian entries, *Exp. Mat.* , **21**, 293–337.
- K. JOHANSSON (1998). On the fluctuations of eigenvalues of random Hermitian matrices, *Duke Math. J.*, **91**, 151–204.

- P. MICHEL (200–2001). Répartition des zéros des fonctions  $L$  et matrices aléatoires. *Séminaire Bourbaki*, 53<sup>ème</sup> année, exposé No 887.
- L. PASTUR (1996). Spectral and probabilistic aspects of matrix models, in *Algebraic and Geometric Methods in Mathematical Physics*, p. 207–242. *Kluwer*.
- L. PASTUR (1999). On a simple approach to global regime of random matrix theory, in *Mathematical results in Statistical Mechanics*, p. 429–454. *World Scientific*, Singapore.
- L. PASTUR (2000). Random matrices as paradigm, in *Mathematical Physics 2000*, p. 216–265. *Imperial College Press*, London.
- P. VAN MOERBEKE (1999–2000). Random matrices and permutations, matrix integrals and integrable systems. *Séminaire Bourbaki*, 52<sup>ème</sup> année, exposé No 879.
- C.A. TRACY, H. WIDOM (1994). Level-spacing distributions and the Airy kernel, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **159**, 151–174.
- E.P. WIGNER (1955). Characteristic vectors of bordered matrices with infinite dimensions, *Annals of Math.*, **62**, 548–564.
- E.P. WIGNER (1958). On the distribution of roots of certain matrices, *Annals of Math.*, **67**, 325–327.

*For basic facts about Hermite and Laguerre polynomials, Bessel functions, see, for instance:*

- N.N. LEBEDEV (1972). *Special functions & their applications*. *Dover*.