

Asymptotic Equivariant Index of Toeplitz Operators on the Sphere*

Louis Boutet de Monvel[†]

This article is dedicated to M. Sato, whose work has always been a great source of inspiration.

We illustrate the equivariant asymptotic index described in [6, 8] in the case of spheres $\mathbb{S}^{2N-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^N$, equipped with a unitary action of a compact group, for which this theory is more explicit. The article is mostly a review article, except for the last section (§5) in which we describe conjecturally some very natural generators of the relevant K-theory for a torus action on a sphere, generalizing in our Toeplitz operator context the generators proposed by M.F. Atiyah [2] for the transversally elliptic pseudodifferential theory.

1 Toeplitz operators on the sphere

Let $X = \mathbb{S}^{2N-1}$ denote the unit sphere, bounding the unit ball \mathbb{B} , in \mathbb{C}^N .

We will denote by \mathbb{H} (or \mathbb{H}_X) the kernel of the tangent Cauchy-Riemann system $\bar{\partial}_b$ i.e. the space of boundary values of holomorphic functions on \mathbb{B} that are of moderate growth near the boundary (these are distributions on X).

We denote by S (or S_X) the Szegő projector, i.e. the orthogonal projector of $L^2(X)$ on $\mathbb{H} \cap L^2$:

$$Sf(z) = \int_X S(z, \bar{w}) f(w) d\sigma(w) \quad \text{with } S(z, \bar{w}) = \frac{1}{v} (1 - z \cdot \bar{w})^{-N}$$

where $v = \frac{2\pi^N}{(N-1)!}$ is the volume of X .

S is a Fourier integral operator (FIO) with complex phase (cf. [9]); it acts continuously on all Sobolev spaces $H^s(X)$ - where the range is $\mathbb{H}^s = \mathbb{H} \cap H^s(X)$.

A Toeplitz operator of degree m is an operator of the form $f \in \mathbb{H} \mapsto T_P(f) = S(P(f))$ where P is a pseudodifferential operator (ψ DO) of degree m on X ; it is continuous $\mathbb{H}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{s-m}$ for all s . In particular if ϕ is a smooth function on X , it defines a Toeplitz operator T_ϕ ($f \mapsto S(\phi f)$). The operators initially introduced by Toeplitz are the T_ϕ on the circle ($N = 1$).

*2010 AMS classification 58J40, 32A25, 53D10, 19L47.

[†]UPMC Paris 06, (UMR7586), F75005 Paris, France boutet@math.jussieu.fr

Toeplitz operators give rise to a symbolic calculus identical to the pseudodifferential calculus: the sphere X is a contact manifold with contact form induced by $\lambda = \text{Im}(\bar{z} \cdot dz)$. The associated symplectic cone is the set $\Sigma \subset T^\bullet X$ of positive multiples of λ ¹; this is one half of the line bundle, set of real characteristics of $\bar{\partial}_b$, which carries the microsingularities of functions in \mathbb{H} or of S . The symbol $\sigma_m(A)$ of a Toeplitz operator A of degree $\leq m$ is a homogeneous function on Σ of degree m , the restriction to Σ of the symbol of P if $A = T_P$, and we have

$$\sigma_{m+m'}(P \circ Q) = \sigma_m(P)\sigma_{m'}(Q), \quad \sigma_{m+m'-1}([P, Q]) = \frac{1}{i}\{\sigma_m(P), \sigma_{m'}(Q)\}$$

Mod operators of degree $-\infty$, Toeplitz operators are local; they define a sheaf of algebras on X , acting on the sheaf of holomorphic microfunctions ($\ker \bar{\partial}_b \text{ mod } C^\infty$); this is locally isomorphic to the sheaf of pseudodifferential operators (mod C^∞) in N real variables acting on microfunctions, on the cosphere $S^*\mathbb{R}^N$.

Example: if $P = P(z, \partial_z)$ is a holomorphic differential operator (defined in a neighborhood of \mathbb{B}) it obviously acts on \mathbb{H} and defines a Toeplitz operator T_P (or P if this does not lead to confusion).

We will use in particular the operator ρ , which is an elliptic Toeplitz operator of degree 1:

$$\rho = z \cdot \partial_z = \sum z_j \partial_j : \quad f = \sum f_\alpha z^\alpha \mapsto \sum |\alpha| f_\alpha z^\alpha \quad (1)$$

Any Toeplitz operator is equivalent (mod C^∞) to an asymptotic sum: $T_P \sim \sum_{m \leq m_0} \rho^m T_{\phi_m}$; the ‘‘coefficients’’ $\phi_m \in C^\infty(X)$ are smooth functions on X and are uniquely determined.²

From [6] we recall:

Lemma 1 *On the sphere $X = \mathbb{S}^{2N-1}$ of \mathbb{C}^N we have (with ρ as in (1)):*

$$\partial_j = (\rho + N)T_{\bar{z}_j} \quad \text{with as above } \rho = \sum_1^N z_j \partial_j \quad (2)$$

It is sometimes practical to identify Σ with $\mathbb{C}^N - \{0\}$, with Liouville form $\lambda = \text{Im}(\bar{z} \cdot dz)$ and symplectic form $\omega = \text{Im}(d\bar{z} \cdot dz)$ (twice the canonical one), the coordinate functions z_j, \bar{z}_j being homogeneous of degree $\frac{1}{2}$ (however this can lead to confusions: in this identification the symbol of T_{z_j} , resp. T_{∂_j} , is $\frac{1}{|z|}z_j$, resp. $|z|\bar{z}_j$).

¹ $T^\bullet X$ denotes the cotangent bundle T^*X deprived of its zero section.

²This is only asymptotic (mod C^∞); the series usually does not converge. This does not really give rise to a practical ‘‘total’’ symbolic calculus.

2 Equivariant index

Let G be a compact Lie group, with a linear unitary action on \mathbb{C}^N . Then G preserves holomorphic functions and the Szegő kernel (which is invariant under the action of $U(N)$), and we may consider invariant Toeplitz operators.

We denote by \mathfrak{g} the Lie algebra of G . Any $\gamma \in \mathfrak{g}$ produces a vector field tangent to the sphere X , whose holomorphic part defines a Toeplitz operator of the form:

$$L_\gamma = i \sum a_{pq} z_q \partial_p$$

where (a_{pq}) is a hermitian matrix. The symbol of $\frac{1}{i}L_\gamma$, when we identify Σ with \mathbb{C}^N as above, is the hermitian form $q(z) = \sum a_{pq} z_q \bar{z}_p$, $\alpha > 0$ (symbol map \sim moment map).

Definition 2 We denote by $\text{char } \mathfrak{g} \subset \Sigma$ the set of points of Σ where all symbols $\sigma(\frac{1}{i}L_\gamma) = \langle A_\gamma z | z \rangle$, $\gamma \in \mathfrak{g}$, vanish.

The base $Z \subset X$ is the set of points where all vector fields L_γ ($\gamma \in \mathfrak{g}$) are orthogonal to the contact form λ (this still makes sense on any contact G -manifold. If X is the cosphere bundle of a G -manifold M , we have $\text{char } \mathfrak{g} = T_G^*M$, the set of covectors orthogonal to the orbits of G).

If G is a torus acting diagonally: $gz = (e^{2i\pi\mu_k(\gamma)} z_k)$ if $g = \gamma \bmod \mathbb{Z}^n$ ($\mu_k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$), Z is the inverse image under the map $z \mapsto (\lambda_k = |z_k|^2)$, of the convex rational polyhedron $\{\sum \lambda_k \mu_k = 0\}$ in \mathbb{R}_+^N ($\lambda_k \geq 0, \sum \lambda_k = 1$).

For index theory it is also necessary to consider Szegő projectors on the space of sections of G -vector bundles (for which the definition and existence of equivariant generalized Szegő projectors works as well cf.[3, 7]). In the case where X is a sphere it is enough to consider “trivial” bundles, of the form $X \times E$, where E is some finite representation of G ³: for these the holomorphic Szegő projector is well defined ($S \otimes \text{Id}_E$).

If P is an equivariant Toeplitz operator acting on holomorphic sections of G -vector bundles, and $P \sim 0$ near $\text{char } \mathfrak{g}$, then the G -trace $\text{Tr}_G(P)$ is defined as in [2]. It is a distribution on G : if P is of trace class (i.e. of degree $< -N$) it is the continuous function $g \mapsto \text{Tr}_G(P)(g) = \text{tr}(g \circ P)$. In the general case, let Δ be a biinvariant elliptic operator of degree > 0 (e.g. the Casimir operator of some faithful representation), Δ_X the Toeplitz operator it defines on X , which is elliptic outside of $\text{char } \mathfrak{g}$; dividing repeatedly we get $P = \Delta_X^m Q + R$ where R is of degree $-\infty$, and for large m the quotient Q is of trace class; we have $\text{Tr}_G(P) = \Delta^m \text{Tr}_G(Q) + \text{Tr}_G(R)$. The G -trace makes sense for any operator $P \sim 0$ near $\text{char } \mathfrak{g}$; its restriction to equivariant operators is a trace, i.e. $\text{Tr}_G AB = \text{Tr}_G BA$ if A, B are both equivariant and one of them is ~ 0 near $\text{char } \mathfrak{g}$.

³because any G bundle is stably trivial, i.e. there exists trivial bundles E', F such that $E \oplus F \sim E'$

We will say that P is G -elliptic⁴ if it is elliptic on $\text{char } \mathfrak{g}$, i.e. its principal symbol is invertible there (thus also near $\text{char } \mathfrak{g}$). The definition of M.F. Atiyah [2] can be reproduced:

Definition 3 *If P is equivariant and G -elliptic, its G -index is defined as the virtual representation $\ker P - \text{coker } P$. Its character is the distribution $\text{Tr}_G(1 - QP) - \text{Tr}_G(1 - PQ)$ where Q is any G -parametrix of P (i.e. Q is equivariant, $QP \sim 1, PQ \sim 1$ near $\text{char } \mathfrak{g}$).*

$\ker P$ et $\text{coker } P$ are both tempered representations of G , and their characters are well defined as distributions. The index is the difference of their characters: we have

$$\text{Ind}_G(P) = \sum \frac{I_\alpha}{d_\alpha} \chi_\alpha \quad (3)$$

where α ranges along the set of irreducible representations, with character χ_α and dimension d_α , and I_α is the index of the restriction of P to the isotypic components of type α (I_α/d_α is integral).

These definitions extend to equivariant complexes of Toeplitz operators.

The symbol of an equivariant G -elliptic system P defines a K-theoretical element $[P]^{abs} \in K_0^G(\text{char } \mathfrak{g} \cup \{0\})$; the G -index of P only depends on this (because it is additive and deformation invariant).⁵

3 Asymptotic index

Szegő projectors and Toeplitz operators are defined more generally on any compact oriented contact manifold X (whose associated symplectic cone is again the half-line subcone of $T^\bullet X$ consisting of positive multiples of the contact form).

Let us recall from [7, 4, 5] that if Σ is a symplectic subcone of $T^\bullet M$, with M a smooth compact manifold, a generalized Szegő projector associated to Σ is a self adjoint elliptic Fourier integral projector S of degree 0 ($S = S^* = S^2$), whose complex canonical relation \mathcal{C} is $\gg 0$, with real part the diagonal $\text{diag } \Sigma$ (elliptic means that the principal symbol of S does not vanish on Σ , $\gg 0$ refers to the work of J. Sjöstrand and A. Melin [13, 14]).

Such a projector always exists; its range \mathbb{H} is the associated “Toeplitz space” (following the terminology of [7]). As before Toeplitz operators corresponding to S are the operators on \mathbb{H} of the form $u \in \mathbb{H} \mapsto T_P(u) = SPS(u)$ with P a pseudodifferential operator on M . They form an algebra (i.e. sums and products of Toeplitz operators are Toeplitz operators). Mod smoothing operators, they form a sheaf acting on $\mu\mathbb{H}$, locally isomorphic to the sheaf of pseudodifferential operators acting on the sheaf of microfunctions in p variables if $\dim \Sigma = 2p$.

The generalized Szegő projectors associated to Σ are “essentially unique”: if S, S' are two generalized Szegő projectors, S' induces a Fredholm map $\mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}'$.

⁴transversally elliptic in [2], but “transversally” is not adequate in the Toeplitz context.

⁵this would in general not make sense for contact manifolds other than spheres.

More generally if u, u' are two embeddings of Σ in cotangent bundles T^*M, T^*M' and \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H}' corresponding “Toeplitz spaces” there always exists a FIO F , whose (complex) canonical relation is $\gg 0$ with real part the graph of $u' \circ u^{-1}$, inducing a Fredholm map $\mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}'$ (“adapted” with the terminology of [7], or [4]).

The pair $(\mathcal{A}_\Sigma, \mu\mathbb{H})$ consisting of the sheaf micro Toeplitz operators (i.e. mod smoothing operators), acting on $\mu\mathbb{H}$ is well defined, up to (non unique) isomorphism: it only depends on the symplectic cone Σ , not on the embedding.

All the constructions above allow a compact group action (cf. [7]), i.e. S can be constructed invariant; if u, u' above are equivariant, F can be constructed equivariant, etc.⁶

If X is a contact G -manifold and we have chosen an invariant Szegő projector, Atiyah’s theory of transversally elliptic indices can be reproduced to some extent: the characteristic set $\text{char } \mathfrak{g}$ is defined exactly as above, its basis Z is again the set of points of X where the infinitesimal generators L_γ of the action of G are orthogonal to the contact form. Again there is a notion of elliptic system (or complex) of Toeplitz operators (i.e. elliptic along $\text{char } \mathfrak{g}$), and such a system has a G -index, i.e. a trace class virtual representation, whose character is a distribution on G ⁷.

However because from the contact data alone the Szegő projector is at best known up to a compact operator, \mathbb{H} is at best known mod a finite dimensional space and there is no hope to compute the index by local or differential formulae involving the principal symbol alone, without further data (this is even worse for G -vector bundles, even in the case of the sphere if a “trivial” or at least holomorphic structure is not given. There is a pseudodifferential analogue: if E is a vector bundle on a manifold M and $p \in C^\infty(S^*M, L(E))$ a projector defined on the cosphere, there always exists a pseudodifferential projector P with symbol p (compatible with the action of G if there is one), but this is obviously not unique, any two such differ by a compact operator; the projection from the range of one to the other is a Fredholm operator, on the index of which there can be no control from the topological data alone.)

A remedy to this, used in [8], is to introduce the asymptotic G -index, which is well defined for equivariant Toeplitz operators, only depends on their symbols, and still encodes pertinent information if G is not finite:

Definition 4 *The asymptotic index is the absolute index mod finite virtual representation; its character is the singularity (distribution mod C^∞) of the distribution character of the “absolute” G -index.*

(note that a series $\sum m_\alpha \chi_\alpha$ has a C^∞ sum iff the sequence of coefficients m_α is of rapid decrease; if the m_α are integers it is a finite sum.)

⁶In these constructions one uses means repeatedly, and the compactness condition on the group cannot be relaxed.

⁷We recall that a virtual representation is of trace class if its character $\sum m_\alpha \chi_\alpha$ converges in distribution sense, i.e. the coefficients m_α (which are integers) are bounded by some power of the eigenvalues of a faithful Casimir operator (or any biinvariant elliptic operator)

If u is an isomorphism from $\Sigma \subset T^\bullet M$ to $\Sigma' \subset T^\bullet M'$ (equivalently a contact isomorphism on the bases), $F : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}'$ an adapted FIO as above, it can be used to transport G -elliptic systems and preserves the asymptotic G -index (not the absolute index).

The asymptotic index of an equivariant G -elliptic Toeplitz system P obviously only depends on its top order symbol, which defines an element $[P] \in K^G(X - Z)$, where $K^G(X - Z)$ is the group of virtual G -bundles with compact support in $X - Z$: its elements are stable equivalent classes of equivariant G -bundle homomorphisms $E \rightarrow F$ on the sphere, invertible on Z (the base of $\text{char } \mathfrak{g}$). Since the asymptotic index is also additive and deformation invariant, we get (cf. [6]):

Proposition 5 *The asymptotic index of an equivariant G -elliptic system P only depends on the K-theoretic element $[P] \in K^G(X - Z)$ it defines. It defines a linear map*

$$\text{asInd} : K^G(X - Z) \rightarrow \text{Sing}(G)$$

(The K-theoretic element $[P] \in K^G(X - Z)$ is well defined for any contact manifold; in the case of the sphere, it is the image of $[P]^{abs}$ mentioned above ⁸.)

4 Embedding

It is possible to transfer the index from a G -sphere to a larger one: let $X = \mathbb{S}^{2N-1}$ be the unit sphere of $V = \mathbb{C}^N$, with a unitary action of G , as in §1. Let V', V'' be two orthogonal invariant subspaces and $Y = V' \cap X$, an invariant subsphere.

Definition 6 *We denote by $d_{V''}$ (or d'') the partial holomorphic de Rham complex, acting on z'' -differential forms with holomorphic coefficients in all variables (z', z'') :*

$$(\mathbb{E}^k, d'') \quad \text{with } \mathbb{E}^k = \mathbb{H} \otimes \bigwedge^k V''^* \quad d''\omega(z', z'') = \sum dz''_j \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial z''_j} \quad (4)$$

(V''^* denotes the dual of V'') This is obviously equivariant (if V', V'' are invariant), and its cohomology is \mathbb{H}' (0 in positive degree). The symbol of d'' is, except for the factor $a = \sigma(z \cdot \partial_z > 0$, the exterior multiplication by $\sum \bar{z}_j dz_j$.

Formula (2) shows that the symbol of d'' is, up to a positive factor $(\sigma(\rho))$, adjoint to the symbol of the Koszul complex $k_{V''}$, which is the complex (in negative degrees)

$$(\mathbb{E}_{-k}, I_{z''}) \quad \text{with } I_{z''} \text{ the interior product } \omega(z', z'') \mapsto \sum z''_j \partial_{z''_j} \lrcorner \omega$$

⁸an equivariant map $u : E \rightarrow F$ invertible on Z defines the 0-element iff u can be stably deformed into an invertible homomorphism on the whole sphere X , i.e. it lies in the image of the obvious map $K_0^G(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow K_0^G(\text{char } \mathfrak{g})$.

The K-theoretical element $[k_{V'}] = [d_{V''}] \in K_Y^G(X)$ is precisely the Bott element, defining the Bott isomorphism $E \mapsto E \otimes [k_{V'}]$ ($P \mapsto P \otimes d_{V''}$) of $K^G(Y)$ to $K_Y^G(X)$.

Thus this “obvious” transfer takes a G -elliptic system P on Y to a G -elliptic system P' on X which has the same asymptotic G -index, and whose associated K-theoretical element $[P']$ is the Bott image of that of P .⁹

More generally, let Y, X be two compact contact G -manifolds and $u : Y \rightarrow X$ an invariant contact embedding (i.e. the contact form λ_Y is a positive multiple of $u^*\lambda_X$, equivalently u is the base map of a symplectic embedding). Let Y' be the image Σ_Y' be the image submanifold, with symplectic cones $\Sigma_Y \subset \Sigma_X$ and G -invariant Toeplitz spaces $\mathbb{H}_Y \subset \mathbb{H}_X$ (e.g. Σ_Y is realized as a symplectic subbundle of T^*M , $Y = S^*M$, $\mathbb{H}_X = L^2(M)$).

Then (cf.[8]) \mathbb{H}_Y is the set of solutions of a complex D_X of Toeplitz operators mimicking $\bar{\partial}_b$.

This can be used to transfer the computation of the asymptotic on Y to that on X . The K-theoretic element $[k_X] \in K_Y^G(X)$ defined by the symbol of D_X is precisely the element which defines the Bott isomorphism $K^G(Y) \rightarrow K_Y^G(X)$. If a K-theoretical element $u \in K^G(X - Z)$ is supported by Y , it belongs to the range of the canonical homomorphism $K_Y^G(X - Z) \rightarrow K^G(X - Z) \rightarrow$ it is the image via the Bott homomorphism of an element of $K^G(X - Z)$ and the corresponding Toeplitz system has the same equivariant index.

Since any G compact contact manifold can be embedded in a contact sphere with a linear unitary action of G , this theoretically reduces the index problem to the problem on the sphere, essentially studied by M.F. Atiyah [2] (at least when G is a torus, with a symmetric action as indicated below).

5 Generators

If $V_0 \subset V$ is the fixed subspace of G , it is immediate that any G -elliptic system can be deformed equivariantly in a G -elliptic system also elliptic outside of the orthogonal of V_0 , whose K-theoretical element is then supported by $X \cap V_0^\perp$. Thus for the computation of the index, we are reduced to the case where V has no trivial component.

Definition 7 *We will say that the action of G is elliptic if $\text{char } \mathfrak{g}$ is empty*¹⁰ *(the base Z is empty).*

⁹in the case of spheres, there is also a result for the absolute index, provided we work with trivial bundles, or at least with holomorphic bundles, so that the range of the Szegő projector is unambiguously defined; the K-theoretical element $[P]$ is then an element of $K^G(\text{char } \mathfrak{g})$, the K-theory with compact support of the cone $(\text{char } \mathfrak{g})$ (including the origin), rather than $K^G(X - Z)$.

¹⁰or reduced to the origin if we extend it as a closed subset of \mathbb{C}^N .

For the cotangent sphere of a G -manifold M , this would just mean that M is a finite union of open orbits; for a sphere or a contact manifold, it is less trivial.

If the action of G is elliptic, any equivariant bundle homomorphism $u : E \rightarrow F$ is G -elliptic; its G -index is $\text{Ind}_G E - \text{Ind}_G F$ (where for short we have denoted by $\text{Ind}_G E$ the G -index of the zero map $E \rightarrow 0$).

From now on we will suppose that the basis is a sphere $X \subset V$ as in §1, and that G has no fixed point. As mentioned above any G -bundle on the sphere is stably isomorphic to a trivial (product) bundle. If the action of G is elliptic, any equivariant bundle homomorphism is G -elliptic. The index of the trivial bundle of rank 1, with space of holomorphic sections \mathbb{H}_V , is the polynomial algebra:

$$\text{Ind}_G([1]) = \sum S^n V^{*n} (= \text{Ind}_G(\mathbb{H}_V))$$

The G -index of the trivial bundle $X \times E$ is $E \otimes \text{Ind}(\mathbb{H}_V)$.

We now further suppose that G is a torus $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$. We may suppose that the action on $X \subset V = \mathbb{C}^N$ is diagonal, and that there is no fixed point ($\neq 0$):

$$gz = (e^{2i\pi\mu_k(\gamma)} z_k) \text{ if } g = \gamma \pmod{\mathbb{Z}^n}$$

where the μ_k ($1 \leq k \leq N$) are integral linear forms ($\mu_k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathfrak{g}^* \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$).

Lemma 8 *The action of G is elliptic iff the characters μ_k generate a strictly convex cone in \mathfrak{g}^* .*

Indeed strict convexity is equivalent to the fact that $\sum \lambda_k \mu_k = 0$, $\lambda_k \geq 0$ ($\lambda_k = z_k \bar{z}_k$) implies $\lambda_k = 0$ for all k .

The K-theoretic element defined by the Koszul (or De Rham) complex is

$$[k_V] = \prod (1 - \mu_k)$$

and we get

$$\text{Ind}_G(\mathbb{H}_V) = [k_V]^{-1} = \prod (1 - \mu_k)^{-1}$$

where each factor $(1 - \mu_k)^{-1}$ is expanded in positive power of the μ_k (this is well defined if the μ_k generate a strictly convex cone); $\text{Ind}_G(\mathbb{H}_V)$ is the partition function, given by a Hilbert-Samuel series. The G -index or asymptotic G -index of any equivariant Toeplitz system is then a multiple of $\chi(\mathbb{H}_V)$ in \hat{R}_G (resp. $\hat{R}_G \text{ mod } R_G$).

The map $K^G(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow K^G(X)$ always induces an isomorphism $R_G/R_G[k_V] \rightarrow K^G(X)$ (for any action of G), so in the elliptic case, the equivariant asymptotic index is an isomorphism from $K^G(X)$ to $R_G[k_V]^{-1}/R_G$.

In the general case (G still a torus, but not an elliptic action), there may be several invariant elliptic subspheres $X_J = X \cap V_J$, corresponding to the subsets

$J \subset [1, N]$ such that the $\mu_j, j \in J$ generate a strictly convex cone (i.e. there exists $v \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\mu_j(v) > 0$ for $j \in J$). Each of them defines a Bott map $K^G(X_J) \rightarrow K^G(X - Z)$; an element of $K^G(X - Z)$ is in the range of this Bott map iff it is supported by X_J (i.e. can be defined by an equivariant symbol u invertible outside of X_J); the corresponding G -indices are the multiples of the inverse of the Koszul symbol $[k_{V_J}]^{-1} \pmod{R_G}$ ($[k_{V_J}] = [d''_{V_J}]$ with the notations above; and $[k_{V_J}]^{-1}$ should be expanded as a power series of the $\mu_j, j \in J$ as above).

Conjecture: *Any element of $K^G(X - Z)$ is sum of elements supported by elliptic subspheres X_J . In other words $K^G(X - Z)$ is generated by the K-theoretic elliptic elements $[k_{V_J}]$ associated to invariant elliptic subspheres (equivalently: by the images of the corresponding Bott maps).*

Accordingly asymptotic indices are of the form $\sum P_J [k_{V_J}]^{-1}$, where $[k_{V_J}]$ denotes the corresponding K-theoretical element corresponding to the Koszul complex of elliptic subspheres (the inverse is understood as a series as above), and the P_J are (finite) elements of R_G . More precisely: the asymptotic index map should be an isomorphism of $K^G(X - Z)$ to the sub R_G -module of \widehat{R}_G/R_G generated by the $\mathbb{H}_{V_J} = [k_{V_J}]^{-1}$ for elliptic $V_J \subset V$.

The assertion is easily seen to be true if $G = U(1)$ is the circle group ($n = 1$): in this case Z is a hypersurface of real codimension 1; it cuts $K^G(X - Z)$ (so as X) in two, each part of which is supported by a maximal positive (resp. negative) subsphere. The corresponding indices are those of the form

$$P(\tau^{\pm 1}) (k_{\pm})^{-1}$$

where τ denotes the generator of R_G (the tautological representation), P is a polynomial, and

$$k_{\pm} = \prod_{\pm n_k > 0} (1 - \tau^{n_k})$$

where the n_k are the exponents of the action of $G = U(1)$ ($e^{2i\pi\theta} \cdot z = (e^{2i\pi n_k \theta} z_k)$); as above the inverse is expanded as a series of positive powers of $\tau^{\pm 1}$.

It is also true (G a torus) if Z is reduced to one ‘interior’ N -torus, i.e. the equations $\sum \lambda_k \mu_k = 0$ have exactly one solution $\lambda_k > 0$ up to a constant factor; equivalently: all hyperplanes $z_k = 0$ are elliptic. In that case G acts through a $N - 1$ dimensional torus, and Z/G is a one dimensional circle. Let us first examine the case of an elliptic symbol $u : E \rightarrow F$ where E, F are of rank one: then u can be deformed into a monomial z^α (the characters of E, F being linked by $\chi_E - \chi_F = \sum \alpha_j \chi_k$). Since a composition $v \circ v'$ is stably homotopic to $v \oplus v'$, u is stably homotopic to a $\oplus u_k$ where u_k is the multiplication by one coordinate power $z_k^{\alpha_k}$ (resp. or $\bar{z}_k^{-\alpha_k}$ if $\alpha_k < 0$), and the corresponding element $[u_k]$ is supported by the subsphere ($z_k = 0$).

In the general case, because the quotient Z/G is a circle, one sees easily that any equivariant homomorphism $u : E \rightarrow F$ can be deformed into a sum

$\oplus u_\alpha : X \times E\alpha \rightarrow X \times F\alpha$ where the $E\alpha, F\alpha$ representations of degree 1, and our assertion follows.

The conjecture is finally also true if the set of characters μ_k is symmetric, i.e. $[1, N]$ is a union of disjoint pairs (k, k') with $\mu_{k'} = -\mu_k$. In this case it is not hard to see that our index situation can be deformed to the spherical case studied by M. Atiyah in [2]. The ‘maximal complex structures’ of Atiyah, which provide the K-theoretical generators, exactly correspond to the maximal elliptic subspheres. For a very elegant analysis of this, see also [10, 11]. Since any G -sphere can be embedded in a symmetric one, this at least shows that any index is a sum of indices supported by some convex cone (coming from some possibly larger elliptic sphere).

References

- [1] Atiyah, M. F. *K-theory*. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam. 1967
- [2] Atiyah, M.F. *Elliptic operators and compact groups*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 401. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974.
- [3] Boutet de Monvel, L. On the index of Toeplitz operators of several complex variables. *Inventiones Math.* 50 (1979) 249-272.
- [4] Boutet de Monvel, L. Symplectic cones and Toeplitz operators (congrès en l’honneur de Trèves, Sao Carlos), *Contemporary Math.*, vol. 205 (1997) 15-24.
- [5] Boutet de Monvel, L. Vanishing of the logarithmic trace of generalized Szegő projectors. *Proceedings of the Conference "Algebraic Analysis of Differential Equations" in honor of Prof. T. Kawai*, Springer Verlag, 2007.
- [6] Boutet de Monvel, L. Asymptotic equivariant index of Toeplitz operators, *RIMS Kokyuroku Bessatsu B10* (2008), 33-45.
- [7] Boutet de Monvel, L.; Guillemin, V. *The Spectral Theory of Toeplitz Operators*. Ann. of Math Studies no. 99, Princeton University Press, 1981.
- [8] Boutet de Monvel, L.; Leichtnam E.; Tang, X. ; Weinstein A. Asymptotic equivariant index of Toeplitz operators and relative index of CR structures arXiv:0808.1365v1; to appear in ‘Geometric Aspects of Analysis and Mechanics, a conference in honor of Hans Duistermaat’, *Progress in Math*, Birkhauser 2009.
- [9] Boutet de Monvel, L.; Sjöstrand, J. Sur la singularité des noyaux de Bergman et de Szegő. *Astérisque* 34-35 (1976), 123-164.
- [10] De Concini, C.; Procesi, C., Vergne, M. Vector partition functions and generalized Dahmen-Micchelli spaces arXiv:0805.2907v2

- [11] De Concini, C.; Procesi, C., Vergne, M. Vector partition functions and index of transversally elliptic operators arXiv:0808.2545v1
- [12] Hörmander, L. Fourier integral operators I. Acta Math. 127 (1971), 79-183.
- [13] Melin, A.; Sjöstrand, J. Fourier Integral operators with complex valued phase functions Lecture Notes 459 (1975) 120-223.
- [14] Melin, A.; Sjöstrand, J. Fourier integral operators with complex phase functions and parametrix for an interior boundary value problem Comm. P.D.E. 1:4 (1976) 313-400.
- [15] Weinstein A. Some questions about the index of quantized contact transformations RIMS Kokyuroku No. 1014, pages 1-14, 1997.